arXiv:0901.1637v1 [math.NT] 12 Jan 2009

Thue's Fundamentaltheorem, II: Some New Irrationality Measures

Paul M Voutier*

January 12, 2009

Abstract

In this paper, we apply Thue's Fundamentaltheorem to obtain effective irrationality measures for certain roots of particular polynomials of the form $(x-\sqrt{t})^n+(x+\sqrt{t})^n$, where $n \geq 4$ is a positive integer and t is a negative integer. For $n=4$ and $n=5$, we find infinitely many such numbers.

1 Introduction

In earlier papers [1, 2, 3, 7], several authors have used Thue's Fundamentaltheorem to completely solve several families of Thue equations and inequalities.

More recently in [9], we have simplified the statement of Thue's Fundamentaltheorem and investigated the conditions under which it yields effective irrationality measures for algebraic numbers.

In a forthcoming paper, for each positive integer $n \geq 3$, we identify families of algebraic numbers of degree n for which Thue's Fundamentaltheorem provides effective irrationality measures that are improvements over Liouville's bound.

The purpose of this paper is to consider other examples. In particular, roots of the polynomial

$$F_{n,t}(x) = \left(x-\sqrt{t}\right)^n + \left(x+\sqrt{t}\right)^n$$

---

*Email address: Paul.Voutier@gmail.com

where $n \geq 4$ is a positive integer and t is a negative integer (since $F_{n,t}(x)$ is divisible by x for odd n, we exclude $n = 3$ as the roots are quadratic in this case).

It turns out that one can find such examples (outside of the above-mentioned families) for many different choices of the γ_1 and γ_2 in Proposition 1 below. Typically, as here, we find that for fixed γ_1 and γ_2, there are infinitely many such examples of degree 3 and 4 over $\mathbb{Q}$ and sometimes some additional ones of higher degree.

We structure this paper as follows. In Section 2, we present our results. Section 3 contains the preliminary results and lemmas that are required to prove our theorems. Sections 4 and 5 contain the proofs of Theorem 1 and 2, respectively. In Section 6, we discuss larger values of n, including the case of $n = 6$ where κ approaches 3 (the Liouville irrationality measure), but from above, so we "just miss" obtaining more new results. Finally, Sections 7–10 contain the proofs of Theorems 3 through 6.

2 Results

Theorem 1 *Let $k = 1$ or 3. For a positive integer $b \geq 6$, write $[b \tan^2(k\pi/8)] = a_1 a_2^2$, where a_1 is squarefree. Suppose that $\gcd(a_1 a_2^2, b) = 1$ and*

$$\left| a_1 a_2^2 - b \tan^2(k\pi/8) \right| < \epsilon,$$

where $0 < \epsilon < 0.5$. Let

$$\mathcal{N} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a_1 a_2 b \text{ is even,} \\ 4 & \text{if } a_1 a_2 b \text{ is odd and } a_1 \equiv b \bmod 4, \\ 8 & \text{if } a_1 a_2 b \text{ is odd and } a_1 \not\equiv b \bmod 4. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\left| \sqrt{a_1 b} \tan\left(\frac{k\pi}{8}\right) - \frac{p}{q} \right| > \frac{c}{|q|^{\kappa+1}} \tag{1}$$

for all integers p and q with $q \neq 0$, where

$$\kappa = \begin{cases} \dfrac{\log\left(14.76 b^2/\mathcal{N}\right)}{\log\left(\mathcal{N}/(64.39\epsilon^2)\right)} & \text{for } k = 1, \\ \dfrac{\log\left(468.3 b^2/\mathcal{N}\right)}{\log\left(\mathcal{N}/(1.705\epsilon^2)\right)} & \text{for } k = 3 \end{cases}$$

and

$$c < \frac{2 \cdot 10^{11} b^5}{\mathcal{N}} \left(2.1 \cdot 10^8 b^3 \right)^{\kappa}.$$

Note 1. If $\epsilon = o(b^{-1/3})$, then this irrationality measure is better than the Liouville bound. In particular, it can be shown that all convergents, $a_1 a_2^2/b$, in the continued-fraction expansion of $\tan^2(\pi k/8)$ lead to such an improvement.

As in other applications of Thue's Fundamentaltheorem (e.g., [1, 2, 3, 7]), where κ approaches 1 as a parameter like b grows, here as b in the denominator of a continued-fraction convergent grows, κ approaches 1.

Note 2. The condition $b \geq 6$ imposes no actual restriction since no $b < 6$ allows us to improve on Liouville's theorem.

Theorem 2 *Let* $k = 1$ *or* 2. *For a positive integer* $b \geq 13$, *write* $[b \tan^2(k\pi/5)] = a_1 a_2^2$, *where* a_1 *is squarefree. Suppose that* $\gcd(a_1 a_2^2, b) = 1$ *and*

$$\left| a_1 a_2^2 - b \tan^2(2k\pi/5) \right| < \epsilon,$$

where $0 < \epsilon < 0.5$. *With*

$$\mathcal{N}_1 = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \gcd(5, a_1 a_2) = 1 \\ 5 & \text{if } 5 | a_1 \\ 5^{5/4} & \text{if } 5 | a_2 \end{cases}$$

and

$$\mathcal{N}_2 = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a_1 a_2 b \text{ is even,} \\ 4\sqrt{2} & \text{if } a_1 a_2 b \text{ is odd and } a_1 \equiv b \bmod 4, \\ 32 & \text{if } a_1 a_2 b \text{ is odd and } a_1 \not\equiv b \bmod 4, \end{cases}$$

put $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}_1 \mathcal{N}_2$.

Then

$$\left| \sqrt{a_1 b} \tan\left(\frac{2k\pi}{5} \right) - \frac{p}{q} \right| > \frac{c}{|q|^{\kappa+1}} \tag{2}$$

for all integers p *and* q *with* $q \neq 0$, *where*

$$\kappa = \begin{cases} \dfrac{\log\left(5690 b^{5/2}/\mathcal{N}\right)}{\log\left(\mathcal{N}/(8.47 b^{1/2} \epsilon^2)\right)} & \text{for } k = 1 \\ \dfrac{\log\left(51.27 b^{5/2}/\mathcal{N}\right)}{\log\left(\mathcal{N}/(57.75 b^{1/2} \epsilon^2)\right)} & \text{for } k = 2 \end{cases}$$

and

$$c < \frac{3.4 \cdot 10^{12} b^{9/2}}{\mathcal{N}} \left(2.3 \cdot 10^9 b^3\right)^{\kappa}.$$

Note 1. Here we require $\epsilon = o(b^{-2/3})$ to improve on the Liouville irrationality measure. As above, for all convergents, $a_1 a_2^2/b$, in the continued-fraction expansion of $\tan^2(2\pi k/5)$ lead to such an improvement.

However, unlike Theorem 1 and other applications of Thue's Fundamentaltheorem, as b, in the denominator of a continued-fraction convergent, grows, κ approaches $5/3$.

Note 2. The condition $b \geq 13$ imposes no actual restriction since no $b < 13$ allows us to improve on Liouville's theorem.

Theorem 3

$$\left| \sqrt{19} \tan\left(\frac{10\pi}{7}\right) - \frac{p}{q} \right| > 0.09 |q|^{-4.6} \tag{3}$$

for all integers p *and* q *with* $q \neq 0$.

Theorem 4

$$\left| \sqrt{39} \tan\left(\frac{8\pi}{7}\right) - \frac{p}{q} \right| > 0.007 |q|^{-3.28} \tag{4}$$

for all integers p *and* q *with* $q \neq 0$.

Theorem 5

$$\left| \sqrt{77} \tan\left(\frac{2\pi}{7}\right) - \frac{p}{q} \right| > 0.003 |q|^{-3.49} \tag{5}$$

for all integers p *and* q *with* $q \neq 0$.

Theorem 6

$$\left| \sqrt{7} \tan\left(\frac{18\pi}{13}\right) - \frac{p}{q} \right| > 0.02 |q|^{-5.68} \tag{6}$$

for all integers p *and* q *with* $q \neq 0$.

3 Preliminary Results

In this section, we collect the results that are required to prove our theorems above.

3.1 Thue's Fundamentaltheorem

We now present our simplified version of Thue's Fundamentaltheorem.

For a positive integer n and non-negative integer r, we put

$$X_{n,r}(x) = {}_2F_1(-r, -r-1/n; 1-1/n; x),$$

where ${}_2F_1$ denotes the classical hypergeometric function.

We let $D_{n,r}$ denote the smallest positive integer such that $D_{n,r}X_{n,r}(x)$ has rational integer coefficients.

For a positive integer m, we define $N_{m,n,r}$ to be the greatest common divisor of the numerators of the coefficients of $X_{n,r}(1-mx)$.

We will use $v_p(x)$ to denote the largest power of a prime p which divides into the rational number x. With this notation, for positive integers m and n, we put

$$\mathcal{N}_{m,n} = \prod_{p|n} p^{\min(v_p(m), v_p(n)+1/(p-1))}$$

and define $\mathcal{C}_n$ and $\mathcal{D}_n$ are such that

$$\max\left(1, \frac{\Gamma(1-1/n)\, r!}{\Gamma(r+1-1/n)}, \frac{n\Gamma(r+1+1/n)}{r!\, \Gamma(1/n)}\right) \frac{D_{n,r}}{N_{m,n,r}} < \mathcal{C}_n \left(\frac{\mathcal{D}_n}{\mathcal{N}_{m,n}}\right)^r$$

holds for all non-negative integers r.

Lastly, following the function name in PARI, we define core(n) to be the unique squarefree divisor, d, of n such that n/d is a perfect square.

Proposition 1 *Let n, t and x be rational integers with $n \geq 3$ and $t \neq 0$. Let $\beta_1 = a + b\sqrt{t}$ be an algebraic integer with $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $b \neq 0$, integer and let $\beta_2 = a - b\sqrt{t}$.*

Let γ_1 be an algebraic integer in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{t})$ (not necessarily irrational) with γ_2 as its algebraic conjugate.

Write

$$\begin{aligned} U(x) &= -\gamma_2 \left(x-\beta_2\right)^n = \frac{u_1 + u_2\sqrt{t}}{2} \\ Z(x) &= \gamma_1 \left(x-\beta_1\right)^n = \frac{-u_1 + u_2\sqrt{t}}{2} \\ &\textit{and} \\ \mathcal{A}(x) &= \frac{\beta_1 \left(x-\beta_2\right) \left(Z(x)/U(x)\right)^{1/n} - \beta_2 \left(x-\beta_1\right)}{\left(x-\beta_2\right) \left(Z(x)/U(x)\right)^{1/n} - \left(x-\beta_1\right)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $u_1, u_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ *and with* $Z(x)/U(x) = se^{i\varphi}$ *for* $s \geq 0$ *and* $-\pi < \varphi \leq \pi$*, then we put* $(Z(x)/U(x))^{1/n} = s^{1/n}e^{i\varphi/n}$*.*

Put

$$\begin{aligned}
g_1 &= \gcd(u_1, u_2), \\
g_2 &= \operatorname{sign}(t)\gcd(u_1/g_1, t), \\
g_3 &= \begin{cases} 1 & \textit{if } t \equiv 1 \bmod 4 \textit{ and } (u_1 - u_2)/g_1 \equiv 0 \bmod 2, \\ 2 & \textit{if } t \equiv 3 \bmod 4 \textit{ and } (u_1 - u_2)/g_1 \equiv 0 \bmod 2, \\ 4 & \textit{otherwise,} \end{cases} \\
g_4 &= \gcd\left(\operatorname{core}(g_2g_3), \frac{\gcd(2,n)n}{\gcd(u_1/g_1, \gcd(2,n)n)}\right), \\
g &= \frac{g_1\sqrt{g_2}}{\sqrt{g_3g_4}}, \\
E &= \frac{|g|\mathcal{N}_{m,n}}{\mathcal{D}_n \min\left(\left|u_2\sqrt{t} \pm \sqrt{u_2^2t - u_1^2}\right|\right)}, \\
Q &= \frac{\mathcal{D}_n \max\left(\left|u_2\sqrt{t} \pm \sqrt{u_2^2t - u_1^2}\right|\right)}{|g|\mathcal{N}_{m,n}}, \\
\kappa &= \frac{\log Q}{\log E} \textit{ and} \\
c &= 4\sqrt{|t|}\left(|x - \beta_1| + |x - \beta_2|\right)\mathcal{C}_nQ \\
&\quad \times \left(\max\left(1.0, 3\sqrt{|t|}|x - \beta_2||\mathcal{A}(x) - \beta_1|\mathcal{C}_n\right)\right)^{\kappa},
\end{aligned}$$

where m *is the largest positive rational integer such that* $u_1/(gm)$ *is an algebraic integer and* $\mathcal{C}_n$ *and* $\mathcal{D}_n$ *are as above.*

If $E > 1$ *and either* $0 < Z(x)/U(x) < 1$ *or* $|Z(x)/U(x)| = 1$ *with* $Z(x)/U(x) \neq -1$*, then*

$$|\mathcal{A}(x) - p/q| > \frac{1}{c|q|^{\kappa+1}} \tag{7}$$

for all rational integers p *and* q *with* $q \neq 0$*.*

Proof. This is Corollary 2 from [9]. ∎

3.2 Roots of These Polynomials

We start with the following lemma describing the roots themselves.

Lemma 1 *Let t be a negative integer.*
(i) *If n is an odd positive integer, then the roots of $F_{n,t}(x)$ are $\sqrt{|t|}\tan(2k\pi/n)$ for $k = 0, \ldots, n-1$.*
(ii) *If n is an even positive integer, then the roots of $F_{n,t}(x)$ are $\sqrt{|t|}\tan((2k+1)\pi/(2n))$ for $k = 0, \ldots, n-1$.*

Proof. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\frac{\cos(\theta)}{\sqrt{|t|}}\right)^n F_{n,t}(\sqrt{|t|}\tan(\theta)) \\
= \;& (\sin(\theta) - i\cos(\theta))^n + (\sin(\theta) + i\cos(\theta))^n \\
= \;& (\cos(\theta - \pi/2) + i\sin(\theta - \pi/2))^n + (\cos(\pi/2 - \theta) + i\sin(\pi/2 - \theta))^n \\
= \;& \cos(n(\theta - \pi/2)) + i\sin(n(\theta - \pi/2)) + \cos(n(\pi/2 - \theta)) + i\sin(n(\pi/2 - \theta)) \\
= \;& 2\cos(n(\pi/2 - \theta)).
\end{aligned}$$

(i) Letting $\theta = 2k\pi/n$, we have

$$n(\pi/2 - \theta) = n(\pi/2 - 2k\pi/n) = n\pi/2 - 2k\pi.$$

Since n is odd, $2\cos(n\pi/2 - 2k\pi) = 0$ and our result follows.
(ii) Here we let $\theta = (2k+1)\pi/(2n)$ and we find that

$$n(\pi/2 - \theta) = n(\pi/2 - (2k+1)\pi/(2n)) = n\pi/2 - (2k+1)\pi/2.$$

Since n is even and $2k+1$ is odd, $2\cos(n\pi/2 - (2k+1)\pi/2) = 0$. ■

The following lemma allows us to identify which root of the polynomial is associated with our choice of x in Proposition 1.

Lemma 2 *With the above notation, we can write*

$$\mathcal{A}(x) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{|t|}\tan\left(\dfrac{\pi(n-k)}{2n}\right) & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ \sqrt{|t|}\tan\left(\dfrac{2\pi((n-k)/4)}{n}\right) & \text{if } n - k \equiv 0 \bmod 4 \\ \sqrt{|t|}\tan\left(\dfrac{2\pi((3n-k)/4)}{n}\right) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

where $(Z(x)/U(x))^{1/n} = (x-\sqrt{t})e^{k\pi i/n}/(x+\sqrt{t})$ *is from the principal branch of the* n*-th root of* $Z(x)/U(x)$*.*

Proof. Substituting the values of the β_i's and the γ_i's, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}(x) &= \sqrt{t}\frac{(x+\sqrt{t})\left(-(x-\sqrt{t})^n/(x+\sqrt{t})^n\right)^{1/n} + \left(x-\sqrt{t}\right)}{(x+\sqrt{t})\left(-(x-\sqrt{t})^n/(x+\sqrt{t})^n\right)^{1/n} - \left(x-\sqrt{t}\right)} \\
&= \sqrt{t}\frac{(x-\sqrt{t})e^{k\pi i/n} + \left(x-\sqrt{t}\right)}{(x-\sqrt{t})e^{k\pi i/n} - \left(x-\sqrt{t}\right)} \\
&= \sqrt{t}\frac{e^{k\pi i/n}+1}{e^{k\pi i/n}-1} \\
&= \sqrt{t}\frac{e^{k\pi i/n}+1}{e^{k\pi i/n}-1}\frac{e^{-k\pi i/n}-1}{e^{-k\pi i/n}-1} \\
&= \sqrt{t}\frac{1-e^{k\pi i/n}+e^{-k\pi i/n}-1}{1-e^{k\pi i/n}-e^{-k\pi i/n}+1} \\
&= \sqrt{|t|}\frac{\sin(k\pi/n)}{1-\cos(k\pi/n)} \\
&= \sqrt{|t|}\cot(k\pi/(2n)) \\
&= \sqrt{|t|}\tan((n-k)\pi/(2n)),
\end{aligned}$$

the last two identities holding by a half-angle formula and a symmetry about $\pi/2$ respectively.

Since we are taking an n-th root of -1 in $(Z(x)/U(x))^{1/n}$, k will be odd. If n is even, then $n-k$ is odd and $\mathcal{A}(x)$ is a root of $F_{n,t}$.

If n is also odd, $n-k$ must be even. If $n-k \equiv 0 \bmod 4$, then our result follows. Otherwise, notice that $\tan((n-k)\pi/(2n)) = \tan((3n-k)\pi/(2n))$ and $3n-k \equiv 0 \bmod 4$, completing our proof. ∎

3.3 Arithmetic Estimates

Lemma 3 (a) *For* $n=4$*, we can take* $\mathcal{C}_n = 700,000$ *and* $\mathcal{D}_n = \exp(1.6)$*.*
(b) *For* $n=5$*, we can take* $\mathcal{C}_n = 2.4\cdot 10^6$ *and* $\mathcal{D}_n = \exp(1.37)$*.*
(c) *For* $n=7$*, we can take* $\mathcal{C}_n = 64,000$ *and* $\mathcal{D}_n = \exp(1.66)$*.*
(d) *For* $n=13$*, we can take* $\mathcal{C}_n = 390,000$ *and* $\mathcal{D}_n = \exp(2.21)$*.*

Proof. This is Lemma 7.4(c) of [9] applied to these specific values of n. ∎

Lemma 4 (a) *With* $\mathcal{N}$ *as in Theorem 1,* $|g|\mathcal{N}_{m,4} \geq 2\mathcal{N} a_1^2$.
(b) *With* $\mathcal{N}$ *as in Theorem 2,* $|g|\mathcal{N}_{m,5} \geq \mathcal{N} a_1^{2.5}$.

Proof. (a) We can write

$$U(a_1a_2) = -\left(a_1a_2 + \sqrt{-a_1b}\right)^4,$$

so $u_1 = -2a_1^2\left(a_1^2a_2^4 - 6a_1a_2^2b + b^2\right)$ and $u_2 = -8a_1^2a_2\left(a_1a_2^2 - b\right)$.

- g_1

From the above expressions for u_1 and u_2, we see that $2a_1^2|g_1$.

For determining g_3 and m below, we also need to know if additional powers of 2 can divide into g_1.

If one of a_1a_2 and b is even and the other odd, then $-u_1/(2a_1^2) = a_1^2a_2^4 - 6a_1a_2^2b + b^2$ is odd. Hence $g_1/(2a_1^2)$ is odd.

If both a_1a_2 and b are odd, then $a_1^2a_2^4 \equiv b^2 \equiv 1 \bmod 8$ and we consider two subcases.

If $a_1 \equiv b \bmod 4$, then $a_1a_2^2b \equiv a_2^2b^2 \equiv 1 \bmod 4$, so $6a_1a_2^2b \equiv 6 \bmod 8$ and hence $a_1^2a_2^4 - 6a_1a_2^2b + b^2 \equiv 4 \bmod 8$. Therefore, since $4|(u_2/(2a_1^2))$, $g_1/(8a_1^2)$ is an odd integer.

Otherwise, calculating over all possible odd triplets $(a_1, a_2, b) \bmod 4$ with $a_1 \not\equiv b \bmod 4$, we find that $a_1^2a_2^4 - 6a_1a_2^2b + b^2 \equiv 8 \bmod 16$. Also $u_2/(-8a_1^2a_2) = a_1a_2^2 - b \equiv 2 \bmod 4$, so $g_1/(16a_1^2)$ is an odd integer.

- g_2

Since $2a_1^2|g_1$, we also have

$$\gcd\left(u_1/g_1, t\right) | \gcd\left(a_1^2a_2^4 - 6a_1a_2^2b + b^2, a_1b\right).$$

As we saw above when considering g_1, the gcd on the right-hand side must be 1, so $g_2 = -1$.

- g_3

Observe that

$$\frac{u_1 - u_2}{2a_1^2} = -a_1^2a_2^4 + 6a_1a_2^2b - b^2 + 4a_2\left(a_1a_2^2 - b\right)$$

We now use the parity arguments from our consideration of g_1.

If one of a_1a_2 and b is even and the other is odd, then $(u_1 - u_2)/g_1$ is odd and so $g_3 = 4$.

We now consider the case when both a_1a_2 and b are odd, and as above break this into two subcases.

If $a_1 \equiv b \bmod 4$, we saw above that $\left(a_1^2a_2^4 - 6a_1a_2^2b + b^2\right)/4$ is odd. But $a_1a_2^2 - b$ is even and hence so is $4a_2\left(a_1a_2^2 - b\right)/4$. Therefore $\left(u_1 - u_2\right)/g_1$ is odd and $g_3 = 4$.

If $a_1 \not\equiv b \bmod 4$, then $a_1^2a_2^4 - 6a_1a_2^2b + b^2 \equiv 8 \bmod 16$ (as shown above). Also $a_1a_2^2 - b \equiv 2 \bmod 4$, so here $(u_1 - u_2)/g_1$ is even. Furthermore, $t = -a_1b \equiv 1 \bmod 4$. Thus $g_3 = 1$.

- g_4

Since $g_2 = -1$ and $g_3 = 1$ or $g_3 = 4$, $\mathrm{core}(g_2g_3) = -1$ and hence

$$g_4 = \gcd\left(\mathrm{core}(g_2g_3), \frac{\gcd(2,n)n}{\gcd(u_1/g_1, \gcd(2,n)n)}\right) = 1.$$

- m

Recall that m is defined to be the largest positive integer such that $u_1/(gm)$ is an algebraic integer. In fact, for determining $\mathcal{N}_{m,4}$ we are only interested in m being a power of 2.

We have

$$\frac{u_1}{g} = -\frac{\sqrt{-g_3}\left(a_1^2a_2^4 - 6a_1a_2^2b + b^2\right)}{g_1/(2a_1^2)}.$$

Again, we use the parity arguments from our consideration of g_1.

If one of a_1a_2 and b is even and the other is odd, then $a_1^2a_2^4 - 6a_1a_2^2b + b^2$ and $g_1/(2a_1^2)$ are odd and $g_3 = 4$. Hence $m = 2$.

If both a_1a_2 and b are odd and $a_1 \equiv b \bmod 4$, then $\left(a_1^2a_2^4 - 6a_1a_2^2b + b^2\right)/4$ and $g_1/(8a_1^2)$ are odd and $g_3 = 4$. Hence $m = 2$.

If both a_1a_2 and b are odd and $a_1 \not\equiv b \bmod 4$, then $a_1^2a_2^4 - 6a_1a_2^2b + b^2 \equiv 8 \bmod 16$ (i.e., if we divide it by 8, then the result is odd). Since $g_1/(16a_1^2)$ is also odd, $\left(a_1^2a_2^4 - 6a_1a_2^2b + b^2\right)/\left(g_1/(2a_1^2)\right)$ is odd as well, so we need only examine $\sqrt{-g_3}$, Since $g_3 = 1$ and so $m = 1$.

Combining these observations, we have shown the following.

If one of a_1a_2 and b is odd and the other is even, then $g_1 \geq 2a_1^2$, $g_2 = -1$. $g_3 = 4$ and $m = 2$. So $|g|\mathcal{N}_{m,4} \geq 2a_1^2$.

If a_1a_2 and b are both odd with $a_1 \equiv b \bmod 4$, then $g_1 \geq 8a_1^2$, $g_2 = -1$. $g_3 = 4$ and $m = 2$. So $|g|\mathcal{N}_{m,4} \geq 8a_1^2$.

If a_1a_2 and b are both odd with $a_1 \not\equiv b \bmod 4$, then $g_1 \geq 16a_1^2$, $g_2 = -1$. $g_3 = 1$ and $m = 1$. So $|g|\mathcal{N}_{m,4} \geq 16a_1^2$.

(b) We can write

$$U(a_1a_2) = -\left(a_1a_2 + \sqrt{-a_1b}\right)^5,$$

so $u_1 = 2a_1^3 a_2 \left(a_1^2 a_2^4 - 10a_1 a_2^2 b + 5b^2 \right)$ and $u_2 = 2a_1^2 \left(5a_1^2 a_2^4 - 10a_1 a_2^2 b + b^2 \right)$.

- g_1

Now $2a_1^2 | g_1$ and we can write $u_1/(2a_1^2) = a_1 a_2 \left(a_1^2 a_2^4 - 10a_1 a_2^2 b + 5b^2 \right)$ and $u_2/(2a_1^2) = 5a_1^2 a_2^4 - 10a_1 a_2^2 b + b^2$.

For what follows, we need to know if additional powers of 2 and 5 can divide into g_1.

If $5 | u_1/(2a_1^2)$, then $5 | a_1 a_2$ and if $5 | u_2/(2a_1^2)$, then $5 | b$. However, we assumed that $\gcd(a_1 a_2^2, b) = 1$, so this is impossible.

If $2 | a_1 a_2$, then $2 \nmid b$ so $2 \nmid (u_2/(2a_1^2))$. Similarly, if $2 | b$, then $2 \nmid (u_2/(2a_1^2))$. So $g_1/(2a_1^2)$ is an odd integer.

If both $a_1 a_2$ and b are odd, then $a_1^2 a_2^4 \equiv b^2 \equiv 1 \bmod 8$ and we consider two subcases.

If $a_1 \equiv b \bmod 4$, then $a_1 a_2^2 b \equiv 1 \bmod 4$, so $10a_1 a_2^2 b \equiv 2 \bmod 8$ and hence $a_1^2 a_2^4 - 10a_1 a_2^2 b + 5b^2 \equiv 4 \bmod 8$. So $u_1/(8a_1^2)$ is an odd integer. Similarly, $u_2/(8a_1^2)$ is an odd integer. Thus $g_1/(8a_1^2)$ is an odd integer.

If $a_1 \not\equiv b \bmod 4$, then we calculate $u_1/(2a_1^2)$ and $u_2/(2a_1^2)$ over all possible odd triplets $(a_1, a_2, b) \bmod 8$ with $a_1 \not\equiv b \bmod 4$, we find that $u_1/(2a_1^2) \equiv u_2/(2a_1^2) \equiv 16 \bmod 32$. Hence $g_1/(32a_1^2)$ is an odd integer.

- g_2

We also have

$$g_2 = \operatorname{sign}(t) \gcd \left(u_1/g_1, t \right) = -\gcd \left(a_1 a_2 \left(a_1^2 a_2^4 - 10a_1 a_2^2 b + 5b^2 \right), a_1 b \right).$$

We will show that $g_2 = -a_1$.

If g_2 were larger in absolute value, then any prime divisor, p, of g_2/a_1 would have to divide into $u_1/(g_1 a_1) = a_2 \left(a_1^2 a_2^4 - 10a_1 a_2^2 b + 5b^2 \right)$ and $t/a_1 = b$. So $p | b$, but it cannot be a divisor of a_2 (since $\gcd(a_2, b) = 1$. Hence it must divide into $a_1^2 a_2^4$, which is also impossible. So $g_2 = -a_1$.

- g_3

For g_3, observe that

$$\frac{u_1 - u_2}{2a_1^2} = -a_1^3 a_2^5 + 5a_1^2 a_2^4 + b \left(10a_1^2 a_2^3 - 10a_1 a_2^2 - 5a_1 a_2 b + b \right).$$

We now use the parity arguments from our consideration of g_1.

If $a_1 a_2$ is even and b is odd, then $g_1 = 2a_1^2$ and so $(u_1 - u_2)/g_1$ is odd. Hence $g_3 = 4$.

If $a_1 a_2$ is odd and b is even, then t is even and therefore $g_3 = 4$.

We now consider the case when both a_1a_2 and b are odd, and as above break this into two subcases.

For $a_1 \equiv b \bmod 4$, we saw above that $u_1/(8a_1^2)$ and $u_2/(8a_1^2)$ are odd. Therefore $(u_1 - u_2)/g_1$ is even. We also have $t = -a_1b \equiv 3 \bmod 4$ and so $g_3 = 2$.

If $a_1 \not\equiv b \bmod 4$, we saw above that $u_1/(32a_1^2)$ and $u_2/(32a_1^2)$ are odd, so here $(u_1 - u_2)/g_1$ is even. Furthermore, $t = -a_1b \equiv 1 \bmod 4$. Thus $g_3 = 1$.

We now combine the above observations about g_1, g_2 and g_3 to obtain our values for $\mathcal{N}_2$ in Theorem 2.

If one of a_1a_2 and b is odd and the other is even, then $g_1 \geq 2a_1^2$, $g_2 = -a_1$ and $g_3 = 4$. So $|g| \geq a_1^{2.5}$ and we can take $\mathcal{N}_2 = 1$.

If a_1a_2 and b are both odd with $a_1 \equiv b \bmod 4$, then $g_1 \geq 8a_1^2$, $g_2 = -a_1$ and $g_3 = 2$. So $|g| \geq a_1^{2.5}$ and we can take $\mathcal{N}_2 \geq 4\sqrt{2}$.

If a_1a_2 and b are both odd with $a_1 \not\equiv b \bmod 4$, then $g_1 \geq 32a_1^2$, $g_2 = -a_1$ and $g_3 = 1$. So $|g| \geq 32a_1^{2.5}$ and we can take $\mathcal{N}_2 \geq 32$.

- g_4

We saw above when examining g_1 that $5|(u_1/(2a_1^2))$ if and only if $5|a_1a_2$. Hence $\gcd(2,n)n/\gcd(u_1/g_1, \gcd(2,n)n)) = 5$ if $5 \nmid a_1a_2$ and $\gcd(2,n)n/\gcd(u_1/g_1, \gcd(2,n)n)) = 1$ otherwise.

Since $g_2 = -a_1$, $g_3|4$ and a_1 is square-free, $5|\mathrm{core}(g_2g_3)$ if and only if $5|a_1$.

Therefore, $g_4 = 1$.

- m

Recall that m is defined to be the largest positive integer such that $u_1/(gm)$ is an algebraic integer. In fact, for determining $\mathcal{N}_{m,5}$ we are only interested in m being a power of 5.

We have

$$\frac{u_1}{g} = \frac{\sqrt{-g_3a_1}a_2\left(a_1^2a_2^4 - 10a_1a_2^2b + 5b^2\right)}{g_1/(2a_1^2)}.$$

If $5|a_2$, then $25|\left(a_2\left(a_1^2a_2^4 - 10a_1a_2^2b + 5b^2\right)\right)$, and as we saw above $5 \nmid (g_1/(2a_1^2))$, so we can take $\mathcal{N}_{m,5} = 5^{5/4}$.

While if $5|a_1$ and $5 \nmid a_2$, then $5||\left(a_2\left(a_1^2a_2^4 - 10a_1a_2^2b + 5b^2\right)\right)$ and we can take $\mathcal{N}_{m,5} = 5$, by analogous reasoning.

This argument justifies our choice of $\mathcal{N}_1$ in Theorem 2. Combined with our results above about $\mathcal{N}_2$, our lemma follows. ■

3.4 Analytic Estimate

Lemma 5 *For any real z with $-0.516 < z < 1$,*

$$1 + z/2 - z^2/8 + z^3/16 - z^4/16 \le \sqrt{1+z} \le 1 + z/2 - z^2/8 + z^3/16.$$

Proof. Using Maple, we find that

$$\left(1 + z/2 - z^2/8 + z^3/16 - z^4/16\right)^2 - (1+z) = -\frac{3z^4}{64} - \frac{5z^5}{64} + \frac{5z^6}{256} - \frac{z^7}{128} + \frac{z^8}{256}.$$

The polynomial on the right-hand side has $z = 0$, $z = -0.5161\ldots$ and $z = 3$ as its only real roots. This polynomial equals $-7/64$ at $z = 1$ and $-7/65536$ at $z = -1/2$. Therefore, it is negative for $-0.516 < z < 3$ and the desired lower bound holds in this range.

Similarly,

$$\left(1 + z/2 - z^2/8 + z^3/16\right)^2 - (1+z) = -\frac{z^5}{128} + \frac{7z^6}{512} - \frac{5z^7}{1024} + \frac{25z^8}{16384}.$$

The polynomial on the right-hand side has $z = 0$ and $z = 3.5341\ldots$ as its only real roots. This polynomial equals $-727/16384$ at $z = 1$. Therefore, it is negative for $z < 3.534$ and the desired upper bound holds in this range.

■

4 Proof of Theorem 1

We apply Proposition 1 with $n = 4$, $t = -a_1 b$, $\beta_1 = \sqrt{t}$, $\beta_2 = -\sqrt{t}$, $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = 1$ and $x = a_1 a_2$.

4.1 Choice of x

We check here that the above value of x gives the algebraic numbers we require.

We have

$$\frac{x - \sqrt{t}}{x + \sqrt{t}} = \frac{x^2 + t - 2x\sqrt{t}}{x^2 - t} = \frac{a_1 a_2^2 - b - 2a_2\sqrt{-a_1 b}}{a_1 a_2^2 + b}.$$

We can write

$$a_1 a_2^2 - b = b\left(\tan^2(\pi k/8) - 1\right) + \epsilon$$

and

$$a_1a_2^2 + b = b\sec^2(\pi k/8) + \epsilon,$$

where $-0.5 < \epsilon < 0.5$.

So, with $b \geq 6$, for $k = 1$, we have $-0.838 < \Re\left((x-\sqrt{t})/(x+\sqrt{t})\right) < -0.593$. Since $\Im\left((x-\sqrt{t})/(x+\sqrt{t})\right) = -2a_2\sqrt{a_1b}/(a_1a_2^2+b) < 0$,

$$-2.56 < \arg\left(\frac{x-\sqrt{t}}{x+\sqrt{t}}\right) < -2.2. \tag{8}$$

Similarly, for $k = 3$, we have $0.703 < \Re\left((x-\sqrt{t})/(x+\sqrt{t})\right) < 0.711$, its imaginary part is negative and so

$$-0.8 < \arg\left(\frac{x-\sqrt{t}}{x+\sqrt{t}}\right) < -0.77. \tag{9}$$

The real part of $Z(x)/U(x)$ can be written as

$$1 - \frac{2\left(a_1^2a_2^4 - 6a_1a_2^2b + b^2\right)^2}{\left(a_1a_2^2+b\right)^4} = 1 - \frac{2\left(\left(a_1a_2^2-3b\right)^2 - 8b^2\right)^2}{\left(a_1a_2^2+b\right)^4},$$

so we will show that this number, and hence $Z(x)/U(x)$ itself, is near 1.

Since $\tan^4(\pi k/8) - 6\tan^2(\pi k/8) + 1 = 0$ and $a_1a_2^2 - 3b = b\left(\tan^2(\pi k/8) - 3\right) + \epsilon$, we have

$$\left(a_1a_2^2 - 3b\right)^2 - 8b^2 = 2b\epsilon\left(\tan^2(\pi k/8) - 3\right) + \epsilon^2.$$

So, for $k = 1, 3$ and $b \geq 6$,

$$\left|2b\epsilon\left(\tan^2(\pi k/8) - 3\right) + \epsilon^2\right| < 2.88b.$$

Furthermore, for $b \geq 6$,

$$1.088b < b\sec^2(\pi k/8) - 0.5 < b\sec^2(\pi k/8) + \epsilon = a_1a_2^2 + b.$$

From the above expression for $\Re(Z(x)/U(x)) - 1$ and these last two inequalities, we find that

$$|\Re(Z(x)/U(x)) - 1| < \frac{11.8}{b^2} < 0.33 \tag{10}$$

for $b \geq 6$.

Since $\arccos(0.67) = 0.836\ldots$, $|\arg((Z(x)/U(x))^{1/4})| < 0.21$. The interval $(-2.56 + 3\pi/4, -2.2 + 3\pi/4)$ overlaps the interval $(-0.33, 0.33)$ while the interval $(-2.62 + \pi/4, -2.18 + \pi/4)$ does not. So from (8) and Lemma 2, for $k = 1$, $\mathcal{A}(a_1a_2) = \sqrt{|t|}\tan(\pi/8)$.

Similarly, considering (9), we find that for $k = 3$, $\mathcal{A}(a_1a_2) = \sqrt{|t|}\tan(3\pi/8)$.

4.2 Application of Proposition 1

Since

$$u_2^2 t - u_1^2 = -4\left|U(a_1a_2)\right|^2 = a_1^4\left(a_1a_2^2+b\right)^4,$$

and $u_2 = -8a_1^2a_2\left(a_1a_2^2-b\right)$, it follows that

$$u_2\sqrt{t} \pm \sqrt{u_2^2t-u_1^2} = -8a_1^2a_2\left(a_1a_2^2-b\right)\sqrt{-a_1b} \pm 2a_1^2\left(a_1a_2^2+b\right)^2 i.$$

Dividing by $2a_1^2 i$, the right-hand side becomes

$$-4a_2\left(a_1a_2^2-b\right)\sqrt{a_1b} \pm \left(a_1a_2^2+b\right)^2.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(a_1a_2^2+b\right)^2 &= \left(b\sec^2(\pi k/8)+\epsilon\right)^2 \\
&= b^2\sec^4(\pi k/8)+2b\epsilon\sec^2(\pi k/8)+\epsilon^2, \quad (11) \\
a_1a_2^2b = b^2\tan^2(\pi k/8)+b\epsilon &= b^2\tan^2(\pi k/8)\left(1+\frac{\epsilon}{b\tan^2(\pi k/8)}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$a_1a_2^2-b = b\left(\tan^2(\pi k/8)-1\right)+\epsilon,$$

where $-0.5 < \epsilon < 0.5$.

For $b \geq 6$ and $k = 1$ or 3, $|\epsilon/(b\tan^2(\pi k/8))| < 0.49$, so the bounds in Lemma 5 apply and we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\epsilon^4}{4b^2\tan^7(\pi k/8)} - \frac{\epsilon^5}{4b^3\tan^7(\pi k/8)} \qquad (12) \\
<\;& 4\left(a_1a_2^2-b\right)\sqrt{a_1a_2^2b} - \Big\{4b^2\tan(\pi k/8)\left(\tan^2(\pi k/8)-1\right) \\
&+2b\epsilon\frac{3\tan^2(\pi k/8)-1}{\tan(\pi k/8)} + \frac{\epsilon^2}{2}\frac{3\tan^2(\pi k/8)+1}{\tan^3(\pi k/8)} - \frac{\epsilon^3}{4b}\frac{\tan^2(\pi k/8)+1}{\tan^5(\pi k/8)}\Big\} \\
<\;& \frac{\epsilon^4}{32b^2}\frac{3\tan^2(\pi k/8)+5}{\tan^7(\pi k/8)} - \frac{5\epsilon^5}{32b^3\tan^7(\pi k/8)}. \qquad (13)
\end{aligned}$$

So, from (11) and (12),

$$\begin{aligned}
&-4a_2\left(a_1a_2^2-b\right)\sqrt{a_1b}+\left(a_1a_2^2+b\right)^2 \\
<\;& b^2\left(\sec^4(k\pi/8)-\left(4\tan^3(k\pi/8)-4\tan(k\pi/8)\right)\right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+ \quad 2b\epsilon\left(\sec^2(k\pi/8) - \frac{3\tan^2(k\pi/8)-1}{\tan(k\pi/8)}\right)\\
&+ \quad \frac{\epsilon^2}{2}\frac{2\tan^3(k\pi/8)-3\tan^2(k\pi/8)-1}{\tan^3(k\pi/8)} + \frac{\epsilon^3}{4b}\frac{\tan^2(k\pi/8)+1}{\tan^5(k\pi/8)}\\
&- \quad \frac{\epsilon^4}{4b^2\tan^7(k\pi/8)} + \frac{\epsilon^5}{4b^3\tan^7(k\pi/8)} \qquad (14)
\end{aligned}
$$

and from (11) and (13),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad 4a_2\left(a_1a_2^2-b\right)\sqrt{a_1b} + \left(a_1a_2^2+b\right)^2\\
&< \quad b^2\left(\sec^4(k\pi/8) + \left(4\tan^3(k\pi/8) - 4\tan(k\pi/8)\right)\right)\\
&+ \quad 2b\epsilon\left(\sec^2(k\pi/8) + \frac{3\tan^2(k\pi/8)-1}{\tan(k\pi/8)}\right)\\
&+ \quad \frac{\epsilon^2}{2}\frac{2\tan^3(k\pi/8)+3\tan^2(k\pi/8)+1}{\tan^3(k\pi/8)} - \frac{\epsilon^3}{4b}\frac{\tan^2(k\pi/8)+1}{\tan^5(k\pi/8)}\\
&+ \quad \frac{\epsilon^4}{32b^2}\frac{3\tan^2(k\pi/8)+5}{\tan^7(k\pi/8)} - \frac{5\epsilon^5}{32b^3\tan^7(k\pi/8)}. \qquad (15)
\end{aligned}
$$

We also note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\left(4\tan^3(\pi/8) - 4\tan(\pi/8)\right) &= \sec^4(\pi/8) && (16)\\
4\tan^3(3\pi/8) - 4\tan(3\pi/8) &= \sec^4(3\pi/8) && (17)\\
-\left(\frac{3\tan^2(\pi/8)-1}{\tan(\pi/8)}\right) &= \sec^2(\pi/8) \quad \text{and} && (18)\\
\frac{3\tan^2(3\pi/8)-1}{\tan(3\pi/8)} &= \sec^2(3\pi/8) && (19)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $t < 0$ and $u_1, u_2 \neq 0$, it follows that $u_2^2t - u_1^2 < u_2^2t < 0$. Thus $\left(u_2^2t - u_1^2\right)/\left(u_2^2t\right) > 1$. Furthermore, since $\left(a_1a_2^2+b\right)^2 > 0$,

$$
\left(a_1a_2^2+b\right)^2 > 4a_2\left|a_1a_2^2-b\right|\sqrt{a_1b}. \qquad (20)
$$

4.2.1 $k = 1$

For $k = 1$ and $b \geq 6$, $a_1a_2^2 - b = b\left(\tan^2(\pi/8) - 1\right) + \epsilon = -0.8284\ldots b + \epsilon < 0$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \max\left|-4a_2\left(a_1a_2^2-b\right)\sqrt{a_1b} \pm \left(a_1a_2^2+b\right)^2\right|\\
= \quad & -4a_2\left(a_1a_2^2-b\right)\sqrt{a_1b} + \left(a_1a_2^2+b\right)^2
\end{aligned}
$$

and from (14), (16) and (18), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & -4a_2\left(a_1a_2^2-b\right)\sqrt{a_1b}+\left(a_1a_2^2+b\right)^2 \\ < \; & 2b^2\sec^4(\pi/8)+4b\sec^2(\pi/8)\epsilon+\frac{\epsilon^2}{2}\frac{2\tan^3(\pi/8)-3\tan^2(\pi/8)-1}{\tan^3(\pi/8)} \\ & +\frac{\epsilon^3}{4b}\frac{\tan^2(\pi/8)+1}{\tan^5(\pi/8)}-\frac{\epsilon^4}{4b^2\tan^7(\pi/8)}+\frac{\epsilon^5}{4b^3\tan^7(\pi/8)}. \end{aligned}$$

We can write this upper bound as

$$\begin{aligned} & b^2\left(2.7451\ldots+\frac{4.6862\ldots\epsilon}{b}-\frac{9.6568\ldots\epsilon^2}{b^2}+\frac{24.0208\ldots\epsilon^3}{b^3}\right. \\ & \left.-\frac{119.500\ldots\epsilon^4}{b^4}+\frac{119.500\ldots\epsilon^5}{b^5}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

If $\epsilon \leq 0$, then the expression in (21) is at most $2b^2\sec^4(\pi/8) = 2.7451\ldots b^2$.

For $6 \leq b \leq 8$, $\epsilon < 0$ and for $b = 9$, $\epsilon = 0.4558\ldots$, so for $\epsilon > 0$, the expression in (21) is at most $b^2(2.7451\ldots + 4.6862\ldots\epsilon/b) < 2.9795b^2$.

Thus

$$\max\left|-4a_2\left(a_1a_2^2-b\right)\sqrt{a_1b}\pm\left(a_1a_2^2+b\right)^2\right| < 2.9795b^2, \tag{22}$$

We turn now to the minimum. By (20),

$$\begin{aligned} & \min\left|-4a_2\left(a_1a_2^2-b\right)\sqrt{a_1b}\pm\left(a_1a_2^2+b\right)^2\right| \\ = \; & +4a_2\left(a_1a_2^2-b\right)\sqrt{a_1b}+\left(a_1a_2^2+b\right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

From (15), (16) and (18), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & 4a_2\left(a_1a_2^2-b\right)\sqrt{a_1b}+\left(a_1a_2^2+b\right)^2 \\ < \; & \frac{\epsilon^2}{2}\frac{2\tan^3(\pi/8)+3\tan^2(\pi/8)+1}{\tan^3(\pi/8)} \\ & -\frac{\epsilon^3}{4b}\frac{\tan^2(\pi/8)+1}{\tan^5(\pi/8)}+\frac{\epsilon^4}{32b^2}\frac{3\tan^2(\pi/8)+5}{\tan^7(\pi/8)}-\frac{5\epsilon^5}{32b^3\tan^7(\pi/8)}. \end{aligned}$$

We can write this upper bound as

$$\epsilon^2\left(11.6568\ldots-\frac{24.0208\ldots\epsilon}{b}+\frac{82.3764\ldots\epsilon^2}{b^2}-\frac{74.6878\ldots\epsilon^3}{b^3}\right). \tag{23}$$

If $\epsilon > 0$, then the expression in (23) is at most $11.6568\ldots\epsilon^2$.

As mentioned above, we have $\epsilon < 0$ for $b = 6$, 7 and 8. It is negative again for $b \geq 12$. Calculating (23) directly for $b = 6$, 7 and 8 and bounding it below by $\epsilon > -0.5$ for $b \geq 12$, we find that it is at most

$$\epsilon^2 \left(11.6568\ldots - \frac{24.0208\ldots\epsilon}{b} \right) < 13\epsilon^2. \tag{24}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} E &= \frac{|g|\mathcal{N}_{m,4}}{\mathcal{D}_4 \min\left(\left| u_2\sqrt{t} \pm \sqrt{u_2^2t - u_1^2} \right| \right)} \\ &> \frac{|g|\mathcal{N}_{m,4}}{\mathcal{D}_4 2a_1^2 \cdot 13\epsilon^2} > \frac{\mathcal{N}}{64.39\epsilon^2} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} Q &= \frac{\mathcal{D}_4}{|g|\mathcal{N}_{m,4}} \max\left(\left| u_2\sqrt{t} \pm \sqrt{u_2^2t - u_1^2} \right| \right) \\ &< \frac{\mathcal{D}_4}{|g|\mathcal{N}_{m,4}} 2a_1^2 \cdot 2.98b^2 < \frac{14.76b^2}{\mathcal{N}}, \end{aligned}$$

from Lemmas 3(a) and 4(a).

Finally, we determine an upper bound for c.

We start by bounding the expression below using our definitions,

$$\begin{aligned} & 4\sqrt{|t|}\left(|x-\beta_1| + |x-\beta_2|\right)\mathcal{C}_n Q \left(3\sqrt{|t|}|x-\beta_2||\mathcal{A}(x) - \beta_1|\mathcal{C}_n \right)^{\kappa} \\ < \; & 4\sqrt{a_1b}\left(\left| a_1a_2 - \sqrt{-a_1b} \right| + \left| a_1a_2 + \sqrt{-a_1b} \right| \right) 700,000 \frac{14.76b^2}{\mathcal{N}} \\ & \times \left(3\sqrt{a_1b}\left| a_1a_2 + \sqrt{-a_1b} \right| \left| \sqrt{a_1b}\tan\left(\frac{\pi}{8}\right) - \sqrt{-a_1b} \right| 700,000 \right)^{\kappa} \\ < \; & 8.27 \cdot 10^7 \sqrt{a_1b}\sqrt{a_1^2a_2^2 + a_1b}\frac{b^2}{\mathcal{N}} \left(2.1 \cdot 10^6 a_1^{3/2} b \sqrt{a_1a_2^2 + b}\sqrt{4 - 2\sqrt{2}} \right)^{\kappa} \\ < \; & \frac{8.27 \cdot 10^7 a_1 b^{5/2}\sqrt{a_1a_2^2 + b}}{\mathcal{N}} \left(2.28 \cdot 10^6 a_1^{3/2} b \sqrt{a_1a_2^2 + b} \right)^{\kappa} \\ = \; & \frac{8.27 \cdot 10^7 a_1 b^{5/2}\sqrt{b\sec^2(\pi/8) + \epsilon}}{\mathcal{N}} \left(2.28 \cdot 10^6 a_1^{3/2} b \sqrt{b\sec^2(\pi/8) + \epsilon} \right)^{\kappa} \\ < \; & \frac{9.27 \cdot 10^7 a_1 b^3}{\mathcal{N}} \left(2.6 \cdot 10^6 a_1^{3/2} b^{3/2} \right)^{\kappa} \end{aligned}$$

since $a_1a_2^2+b=b\sec^2(\pi/8)+\epsilon<1.255b$ for $b\geq 6$.

Therefore, since $2.6\cdot 10^6 a_1^{3/2}b^{3/2}>1$ and since $a_1\leq a_1a_2^2=b\tan^2(\pi/8)+\epsilon<0.255b$ for $b\geq 6$, we have

$$c \quad < \quad \frac{2.4\cdot 10^7 b^4}{\mathcal{N}}\left(400,000b^3\right)^{\kappa}.$$

4.2.2 $k=3$

For $k=3$ and $b\geq 6$, $a_1a_2^2-b=b\left(\tan^2(3\pi/8)-1\right)+\epsilon=4.828\ldots b+\epsilon>28.97-0.5>0$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \max\left|-4a_2\left(a_1a_2^2-b\right)\sqrt{a_1b}\pm\left(a_1a_2^2+b\right)^2\right| \\ = \;& 4a_2\left(a_1a_2^2-b\right)\sqrt{a_1b}+\left(a_1a_2^2+b\right)^2\end{aligned}$$

and, from (15), (17) and (19), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & 4a_2\left(a_1a_2^2-b\right)\sqrt{a_1b}+\left(a_1a_2^2+b\right)^2 \\ < \;& 2b^2\sec^4(3\pi/8)+4b\sec^2(3\pi/8)\epsilon+\frac{\epsilon^2}{2}\frac{2\tan^3(3\pi/8)+3\tan^2(3\pi/8)+1}{\tan^3(3\pi/8)} \\ & -\frac{\epsilon^3}{4b}\frac{\tan^2(3\pi/8)+1}{\tan^5(3\pi/8)}+\frac{\epsilon^4}{32b^2}\frac{3\tan^2(3\pi/8)+5}{\tan^7(3\pi/8)}-\frac{5\epsilon^5}{32b^3\tan^7(3\pi/8)}.\end{aligned}$$

We can write this upper bound as

$$\begin{aligned} & b^2\left(93.2548\ldots+\frac{27.3137\ldots\epsilon}{b}+\frac{1.6568\ldots\epsilon^2}{b^2}-\frac{0.0208\ldots\epsilon^3}{b^3}\right. \\ & \left.+\frac{0.0014\ldots\epsilon^4}{b^4}-\frac{0.0003\ldots\epsilon^5}{b^5}\right)\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

For $\epsilon<0$, this expression is at most $93.255b^2$.

For $b=6$, 7 and 8, $\epsilon>0$ and we calculate the above expression directly. For $b=9$, 10 and 11, $\epsilon<0$, so for $b\geq 12$, we bound ϵ from above by 0.5. In this way we find that, for $b\geq 6$ and $|\epsilon|<0.5$, the expression in (25) is at most $94.54b^2$ and so

$$\max\left|-4a_2\left(a_1a_2^2-b\right)\sqrt{a_1b}\pm\left(a_1a_2^2+b\right)^2\right|<94.54b^2. \tag{26}$$

We turn now to the minimum. By (20),

$$\begin{aligned}&\min\left|-4a_2\left(a_1a_2^2-b\right)\sqrt{a_1b}\pm\left(a_1a_2^2+b\right)^2\right|\\=\;&-4a_2\left(a_1a_2^2-b\right)\sqrt{a_1b}+\left(a_1a_2^2+b\right)^2.\end{aligned}$$

From (14), (17) and (19), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&-4a_2\left(a_1a_2^2-b\right)\sqrt{a_1b}+\left(a_1a_2^2+b\right)^2\\<\;&\frac{\epsilon^2}{2}\frac{2\tan^3(3\pi/8)-3\tan^2(3\pi/8)-1}{\tan^3(3\pi/8)}\\&+\frac{\epsilon^3}{4b}\frac{\tan^2(3\pi/8)+1}{\tan^5(3\pi/8)}-\frac{\epsilon^4}{16b^2}\frac{\tan^2(3\pi/8)+3}{\tan^7(3\pi/8)}+\frac{3\epsilon^5}{16b^3\tan^7(3\pi/8)}.\end{aligned}$$

We can write this upper bound as

$$\epsilon^2\left(0.3431\ldots+\frac{0.0208\ldots\epsilon}{b}-\frac{0.0011\ldots\epsilon^2}{b^2}+\frac{0.0003\ldots\epsilon^3}{b^3}\right)$$

Proceeding as with the maximum, we find that for $b\geq 6$ and $|\epsilon|<0.5$, this expression is at most $0.3442\epsilon^2$ and so

$$\min\left|-4a_2\left(a_1a_2^2-b\right)\sqrt{a_1b}\pm\left(a_1a_2^2+b\right)^2\right|<0.3442\epsilon^2,\qquad(27)$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}E&=\frac{|g|\mathcal{N}_{m,4}}{\mathcal{D}_4\min\left(\left|u_2\sqrt{t}\pm\sqrt{u_2^2t-u_1^2}\right|\right)}\\&>\frac{|g|\mathcal{N}_{m,4}}{\mathcal{D}_42a_1^2\cdot0.3442\epsilon^2}>\frac{\mathcal{N}}{1.705\epsilon^2}\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}Q&=\frac{\mathcal{D}_4}{|g|\mathcal{N}_{m,4}}\max\left(\left|u_2\sqrt{t}\pm\sqrt{u_2^2t-u_1^2}\right|\right)\\&<\frac{\mathcal{D}_4}{|g|\mathcal{N}_{m,4}}2a_1^2\cdot94.54b^2<\frac{468.3b^2}{\mathcal{N}},\end{aligned}$$

from Lemmas 3(a) and 4(a).

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned}
& 4\sqrt{|t|}\left(|x-\beta_1|+|x-\beta_2|\right)\mathcal{C}_n Q\left(3\sqrt{|t|}|x-\beta_2||\mathcal{A}(x)-\beta_1|\mathcal{C}_n\right)^{\kappa} \\
< \; & 8a_1b\left(\left|a_1a_2-\sqrt{-a_1b}\right|+\left|a_1a_2+\sqrt{-a_1b}\right|\right)700,000\frac{468.3b^2}{\mathcal{N}} \\
& \times\left(3\sqrt{a_1b}\left|a_1a_2+\sqrt{-a_1b}\right|\left|\sqrt{a_1b}\tan\left(\frac{3\pi}{8}\right)-\sqrt{-a_1b}\right|700,000\right)^{\kappa} \\
< \; & 5.245\cdot 10^9 a_1b\sqrt{a_1^2a_2^2+a_1b}\frac{b^2}{\mathcal{N}}\left(2.1\cdot 10^6 a_1^{3/2}b\sqrt{a_1a_2^2+b}\sqrt{4+2\sqrt{2}}\right)^{\kappa} \\
< \; & \frac{5.245\cdot 10^9 a_1^{3/2}b^3\sqrt{a_1a_2^2+b}}{\mathcal{N}}\left(5.49\cdot 10^6 a_1^{3/2}b\sqrt{a_1a_2^2+b}\right)^{\kappa} \\
= \; & \frac{5.245\cdot 10^9 a_1^{3/2}b^3\sqrt{b\sec^2(3\pi/8)+\epsilon}}{\mathcal{N}}\left(5.49\cdot 10^6 a_1^{3/2}b\sqrt{b\sec^2(3\pi/8)+\epsilon}\right)^{\kappa} \\
< \; & \frac{1.38\cdot 10^{10} a_1^{3/2}b^{7/2}}{\mathcal{N}}\left(1.444\cdot 10^7 (a_1b)^{3/2}\right)^{\kappa}
\end{aligned}$$

since $a_1a_2^2+b=b\sec^2(3\pi/8)+\epsilon<6.912b$ for $b\geq 6$.

Therefore, since $1.444\cdot 10^7(a_1b)^{3/2}>1$ and since $a_1\leq a_1a_2^2=b\tan^2(3\pi/8)+\epsilon<5.912b$ for $b\geq 6$, we have

$$c<\frac{2\cdot 10^{11}b^5}{\mathcal{N}}\left(2.08\cdot 10^8 b^3\right)^{\kappa}.$$

5 Proof of Theorem 2

We apply Proposition 1 with $t=-a_1b$, $\beta_1=\sqrt{t}$, $\beta_2=-\sqrt{t}$, $\gamma_1=\gamma_2=1$, $n=5$ and $x=a_1a_2$.

5.1 Choice of x

We have

$$\frac{x-\sqrt{t}}{x+\sqrt{t}}=\frac{x^2+t-2x\sqrt{t}}{x^2-t}=\frac{a_1a_2^2-b-2a_2\sqrt{-a_1b}}{a_1a_2^2+b}.$$

We can write

$$a_1a_2^2-b=b\left(\tan^2(2\pi k/5)-1\right)+\epsilon$$

and

$$a_1a_2^2+b=b\sec^2(2\pi k/5)+\epsilon,$$

where $-0.5<\epsilon<0.5$.

So, with $b\geq 13$, for $k=1$, we have $0.8076<\Re\left((x-\sqrt{t})/(x+\sqrt{t})\right)<0.8105$. Since $\Im\left((x-\sqrt{t})/(x+\sqrt{t})\right)=-2a_2\sqrt{a_1b}/(a_1a_2^2+b)<0$,

$$-0.6308<\arg\left(\frac{x-\sqrt{t}}{x+\sqrt{t}}\right)<-0.6257. \tag{28}$$

Similarly, for $k=2$, we have $-0.3672<\Re\left((x-\sqrt{t})/(x+\sqrt{t})\right)<-0.2396$, its imaginary part is negative and

$$-1.947<\arg\left(\frac{x-\sqrt{t}}{x+\sqrt{t}}\right)<-1.812. \tag{29}$$

The real part of $Z(x)/U(x)$ can be written as

$$1-\frac{2a_1a_2^2\left(a_1^2a_2^4-10a_1a_2^2b+5b^2\right)^2}{\left(a_1a_2^2+b\right)^5}=1-\frac{2a_1a_2^2\left(\left(a_1a_2^2-5b\right)^2-20b^2\right)^2}{\left(a_1a_2^2+b\right)^5},$$

so we will show that this number, and hence $Z(x)/U(x)$ itself, is near 1.

Since $\tan^4(2\pi k/5)-10\tan^2(2\pi k/5)+5=0$ and $a_1a_2^2-5b=b\left(\tan^2(2\pi k/5)-5\right)+\epsilon$, we have

$$\left(a_1a_2^2-5b\right)^2-20b^2=2b\epsilon\left(\tan^2(2\pi k/5)-5\right)+\epsilon^2.$$

So, for $k=1,2$ and $b\geq 13$,

$$\left|2b\epsilon\left(\tan^2(2\pi k/5)-5\right)+\epsilon^2\right|<9.03b.$$

Similarly, again for $b\geq 13$,

$$2a_1a_2^2=2b\tan^2(2\pi k/5)+2\epsilon<19.1b$$

for $k=1$ and

$$2a_1a_2^2=2b\tan^2(2\pi k/5)+2\epsilon<1.21b$$

for $k=2$.

Furthermore, for $b \geq 13$,

$$10.39b < b\sec^2(2\pi/5) - 0.5 < b\sec^2(2\pi/5) + \epsilon = a_1a_2^2 + b$$

for $k = 1$ and

$$1.45b < b\sec^2(4\pi/5) - 0.5 < b\sec^2(4\pi/5) + \epsilon = a_1a_2^2 + b$$

for $k = 2$.

Combining these last three inequalities, we find that

$$|\Re(Z(x)/U(x)) - 1| < \frac{16}{b^2} < 0.1$$

for $b \geq 13$.

Since $\arccos(0.9) = 0.451\ldots$, $|\arg((Z(x)/U(x))^{1/5})| < 0.1$. Recalling (28), $\exp(\pi i/5)(x - \sqrt{t})/(x + \sqrt{t})$ is near $(Z(x)/U(x))^{1/5}$, so by Lemma 2, for $k = 1$, $\mathcal{A}(a_1a_2) = \sqrt{|t|}\tan(2\pi/5)$.

Similarly, considering (29), we find that for $k = 2$, $\mathcal{A}(a_1a_2) = \sqrt{|t|}\tan(4\pi/5)$.

5.2 Application of Proposition 1

Notice that

$$u_2^2t - u_1^2 = -4\left|U(a_1a_2)\right|^2 = -4a_1^5\left(a_1a_2^2 + b\right)^5,$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} u_2\sqrt{t} \pm \sqrt{u_2^2t - u_1^2} &= 2a_1^2\left(5a_1^2a_2^4 - 10a_1a_2^2b + b^2\right)\sqrt{-a_1b} \\ &\quad \pm 2a_1^2\left(a_1a_2^2 + b\right)^2\sqrt{-a_1\left(a_1a_2^2 + b\right)}. \end{aligned}$$

Dividing by $2a_1^2\sqrt{-a_1}$, the right-hand side becomes

$$\left(5a_1^2a_2^4 - 10a_1a_2^2b + b^2\right)\sqrt{b} \pm \left(a_1a_2^2 + b\right)^2\sqrt{a_1a_2^2 + b}$$

or

$$\left(5\left(a_1a_2^2 - b\right)^2 - 4b^2\right)\sqrt{b} \pm \left(a_1a_2^2 + b\right)^2\sqrt{a_1a_2^2 + b}.$$

Notice that $\left(a_1a_2^2 + b\right)^2\sqrt{a_1a_2^2 + b} > 0$ and

$$\frac{u_2^2t - u_1^2}{u_2^2t} > 1,$$

since $t < 0$, $u_2 \neq 0$ and hence $u_2^2 t - u_1^2 < u_2^2 t < 0$. Thus,

$$\left(a_1 a_2^2 + b\right)^2 \sqrt{a_1 a_2^2 + b} > \left| 5 \left(a_1 a_2^2 - b\right)^2 - 4b^2 \right| \sqrt{b}. \tag{30}$$

We can write $a_1 a_2^2 = b \tan^2(2\pi k/5) + \epsilon$, so

$$a_1 a_2^2 - b = b \left(\tan^2(2\pi k/5) - 1\right) + \epsilon,$$

and

$$a_1 a_2^2 + b = b \left(\tan^2(2\pi k/5) + 1\right) + \epsilon = b \sec^2(2\pi k/5) + \epsilon,$$

where $-0.5 < \epsilon < 0.5$.

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} 5 \left(a_1 a_2^2 - b\right)^2 - 4b^2 &= b^2 \left(5 \tan^4(2\pi k/5) - 10 \tan^2(2\pi k/5) + 1\right) \\ &\quad + 10 b\epsilon \left(\tan^2(2\pi k/5) - 1\right) + 5\epsilon^2. \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

For $b \geq 13$, $k = 1, 2$ and $|\epsilon| < 0.5$, $|\epsilon/b/\sec^2(2\pi k/5)| < 0.03$, so we can apply Lemma 5. Since we want to use the positive square root, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & a_1 a_2^2 + b = b \sec^2(2\pi k/5) + \epsilon \\ = \; & b \sec^2(2\pi k/5) \left(1 + \frac{\epsilon}{b \sec^2(2\pi k/5)}\right) \\ < \; & \Big\{ b^{1/2} (-1)^{k-1} \sec(2\pi k/5) \\ & \left(1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2b \sec^2(2\pi k/5)} - \frac{\epsilon^2}{8b^2 \sec^4(2\pi k/5)} + \frac{\epsilon^3}{16 b^3 \sec^6(2\pi k/5)}\right) \Big\}^2. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(a_1 a_2^2 + b\right)^2 \sqrt{a_1 a_2^2 + b} \\ < \; & \left(b \sec^2(2\pi k/5) + \epsilon\right)^2 b^{1/2} (-1)^{k-1} \sec(2\pi k/5) \\ & \left(1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2b \sec^2(2\pi k/5)} - \frac{\epsilon^2}{8b^2 \sec^4(2\pi k/5)} + \frac{\epsilon^3}{16 b^3 \sec^6(2\pi k/5)}\right) \\ = \; & (-1)^{k-1} b^{0.5} \Big\{ b^2 \sec^5(2\pi k/5) + \frac{5b\epsilon}{2} \sec^3(2\pi k/5) + \frac{15\epsilon^2 \sec(2\pi k/5)}{8} \\ & \qquad + \frac{5\epsilon^3}{16 b \sec(2\pi k/5)} + \frac{\epsilon^5}{16 b^3 \sec^5(2\pi k/5)} \Big\}. \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

We also note that

$$5\tan^4(2\pi k/5) - 10\tan^2(2\pi k/5) + 1 = \sec^5(2\pi k/5) \qquad (33)$$

and

$$20\left(\tan^2(2\pi k/5) - 1\right) = 5\sec^3(2\pi k/5). \qquad (34)$$

5.2.1 $k = 1$

For $k = 1$, (31) can be written as

$$354.885\ldots b^2 + 84.721\ldots b\epsilon + 5\epsilon^2.$$

Since $|\epsilon| < 1$, this quantity, that is $5(a_1a_2^2 - b)^2 - 4b^2$, is positive for positive b.

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} &\max\left|\left(5\left(a_1a_2^2 - b\right)^2 - 4b^2\right)\sqrt{b} \pm \left(a_1a_2^2 + b\right)^2\sqrt{a_1a_2^2 + b}\right| \\ = \; &\left(5\left(a_1a_2^2 - b\right)^2 - 4b^2\right)\sqrt{b} + \left(a_1a_2^2 + b\right)^2\sqrt{a_1a_2^2 + b}. \end{aligned}$$

And by (30),

$$\begin{aligned} &\min\left|\left(5\left(a_1a_2^2 - b\right)^2 - 4b^2\right)\sqrt{b} \pm \left(a_1a_2^2 + b\right)^2\sqrt{a_1a_2^2 + b}\right| \\ = \; &\left(a_1a_2^2 + b\right)^2\sqrt{a_1a_2^2 + b} - \left(5\left(a_1a_2^2 - b\right)^2 - 4b^2\right)\sqrt{b}. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(5\left(a_1a_2^2 - b\right)^2 - 4b^2\right)\sqrt{b} + \left(a_1a_2^2 + b\right)^2\sqrt{a_1a_2^2 + b} \\ < \; & b^{5/2}\left(5\tan^4(2\pi k/5) - 10\tan^2(2\pi k/5) + 1\right) + 10b^{3/2}\epsilon\left(\tan^2(2\pi k/5) - 1\right) + 5b^{1/2}\epsilon^2 \\ &+ b^{5/2}\sec^5(2\pi k/5) + \frac{5b^{3/2}\epsilon}{2}\sec^3(2\pi k/5) + \frac{15b^{1/2}\epsilon^2\sec(2\pi k/5)}{8} \\ &+ \frac{5\epsilon^3}{16b^{1/2}\sec(2\pi k/5)} + \frac{\epsilon^5}{16b^{5/2}\sec^5(2\pi k/5)} \\ = \; & 2b^{5/2}\sec^5(2\pi k/5) + 5b^{3/2}\epsilon\sec^3(2\pi k/5) + b^{1/2}\epsilon^2\left(5 + \frac{15\sec(2\pi k/5)}{8}\right) \\ &+ \frac{5\epsilon^3}{16b^{1/2}\sec(2\pi k/5)} + \frac{\epsilon^5}{16b^{5/2}\sec^5(2\pi k/5)}, \end{aligned}$$

the last equality holding by (33) and (34).

We can write this upper bound as

$$b^{5/2}\left(709.77\ldots+\frac{169.44\ldots\epsilon}{b}+\frac{11.067\ldots\epsilon^2}{b^2}+\frac{0.096\ldots\epsilon^3}{b^3}+\frac{0.0001\ldots\epsilon^5}{b^5}\right)$$

For $b \geq 13$, this is at most $722.9b^{5/2}$.

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(a_1a_2^2+b\right)^2\sqrt{a_1a_2^2+b}-\left(5\left(a_1a_2^2-b\right)^2-4b^2\right)\sqrt{b} \\
< \quad & +b^{5/2}\sec^5(2\pi k/5)+\frac{5b^{3/2}\epsilon}{2}\sec^3(2\pi k/5)+\frac{15b^{1/2}\epsilon^2\sec(2\pi k/5)}{8} \\
& +\frac{5\epsilon^3}{16b^{1/2}\sec(2\pi k/5)}+\frac{\epsilon^5}{16b^{5/2}\sec^5(2\pi k/5)} \\
& -b^{5/2}\left(5\tan^4(2\pi k/5)-10\tan^2(2\pi k/5)+1\right)-10b^{3/2}\epsilon\left(\tan^2(2\pi k/5)-1\right)-5b^{1/2}\epsilon^2 \\
= \quad & b^{1/2}\epsilon^2\left(\frac{15\sec(2\pi k/5)}{8}-5\right)+\frac{5\epsilon^3}{16b^{1/2}\sec(2\pi k/5)}+\frac{\epsilon^5}{16b^{5/2}\sec^5(2\pi k/5)},
\end{aligned}$$

the last equality again holding by (33) and (34).

We can write this upper bound as

$$b^{1/2}\epsilon^2\left(1.0677\ldots+\frac{0.0966\ldots\epsilon}{b}+\frac{0.0002\ldots\epsilon^3}{b^3}\right).$$

For $b \geq 13$, this is at most $1.076b^{1/2}\epsilon^2$.

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
E &= \frac{|g|\mathcal{N}_{m,5}}{\mathcal{D}_5\min\left(\left|u_2\sqrt{t}\pm\sqrt{u_2^2t-u_1^2}\right|\right)} \\
&> \frac{|g|\mathcal{N}_{m,5}}{\mathcal{D}_5 2a_1^{2.5}\cdot 1.076b^{1/2}\epsilon^2} > \frac{\mathcal{N}}{8.47b^{1/2}\epsilon^2}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
Q &= \frac{\mathcal{D}_5}{|g|\mathcal{N}_{m,5}}\max\left(\left|u_2\sqrt{t}\pm\sqrt{u_2^2t-u_1^2}\right|\right) \\
&< \frac{\mathcal{D}_5}{|g|\mathcal{N}_{m,5}}2a_1^{2.5}\cdot 722.9b^{5/2} < \frac{5690b^{5/2}}{\mathcal{N}},
\end{aligned}$$

from Lemmas 3(b) and 4(b).

Finally,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 4\sqrt{|t|}\left(|x-\beta_1|+|x-\beta_2|\right)\mathcal{C}_n Q\left(3\sqrt{|t|}|x-\beta_2||\mathcal{A}(x)-\beta_1|\mathcal{C}_n\right)^{\kappa} \\
< \; & 4\sqrt{a_1 b}\left(\left|a_1a_2-\sqrt{-a_1b}\right|+\left|a_1a_2+\sqrt{-a_1b}\right|\right)2.4\cdot 10^6\frac{5690b^{5/2}}{\mathcal{N}} \\
& \times\left(3\sqrt{a_1b}\left|a_1a_2+\sqrt{-a_1b}\right|\left|\sqrt{a_1b}\tan\left(\frac{2\pi}{5}\right)-\sqrt{-a_1b}\right|2.4\cdot10^6\right)^{\kappa} \\
< \; & \frac{1.1\cdot10^{11}a_1b^3\sqrt{a_1a_2^2+b}}{\mathcal{N}}\left(7.2\cdot10^6a_1^{3/2}b\sqrt{a_1a_2^2+b}(\sqrt{5}+1)\right)^{\kappa} \\
< \; & \frac{1.1\cdot10^{11}a_1b^3\sqrt{a_1a_2^2+b}}{\mathcal{N}}\left(2.33\cdot10^7a_1^{3/2}b\sqrt{a_1a_2^2+b}\right)^{\kappa} \\
= \; & \frac{1.1\cdot10^{11}a_1b^3\sqrt{b\sec^2(2\pi/5)+\epsilon}}{\mathcal{N}}\left(2.33\cdot10^7a_1^{3/2}b\sqrt{b\sec^2(2\pi/5)+\epsilon}\right)^{\kappa} \\
< \; & \frac{3.57\cdot10^{11}a_1b^{7/2}}{\mathcal{N}}\left(7.56\cdot10^7a_1^{3/2}b^{3/2}\right)^{\kappa}
\end{aligned}
$$

since $a_1a_2^2+b=b\sec^2(2\pi/5)+\epsilon<10.5106b$ for $b\geq 13$.

Therefore, since $7.56\cdot 10^7a_1^{3/2}b^{3/2}>1$ and since $a_1\leq a_1a_2^2=b\tan^2(3\pi/8)+\epsilon<9.5106b$ for $b\geq 13$, we have

$$
c \;<\; \frac{3.4\cdot10^{12}b^{9/2}}{\mathcal{N}}\left(2.3\cdot10^9b^3\right)^{\kappa}.
$$

5.2.2 $k=2$

For $k=2$, (31) can be written as

$$
-2.885\ldots b^2-4.721\ldots b\epsilon+5\epsilon^2.
$$

Since $|\epsilon|<1$, this quantity is negative for $b\geq 13$.

For $b=1$, we have $b\tan^2(4\pi/5)=0.527\ldots$, so $a_1a_2^2=1$ and $5(a_1a_2^2-b)^2-4b^2=-4$ is negative.

For $b=2$, we have $b\tan^2(4\pi/5)=1.0557\ldots$, so we can take $a_1a_2^2=1$ yielding $5(a_1a_2^2-b)^2-4b^2=-11$ or we can take $a_1a_2^2=2$ yielding $5(a_1a_2^2-b)^2-4b^2=-16$.

Hence, $5(a_1a_2^2-b)^2-4b^2$ is negative for positive b.

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \max\left|\left(5\left(a_1a_2^2-b\right)^2-4b^2\right)\sqrt{b}\pm\left(a_1a_2^2+b\right)^2\sqrt{a_1a_2^2+b}\right| \\
= & \left|\left(5\left(a_1a_2^2-b\right)^2-4b^2\right)\sqrt{b}-\left(a_1a_2^2+b\right)^2\sqrt{a_1a_2^2+b}\right| \\
= & -\left(5\left(a_1a_2^2-b\right)^2-4b^2\right)\sqrt{b}+\left(a_1a_2^2+b\right)^2\sqrt{a_1a_2^2+b}.
\end{aligned}$$

And by (30),

$$\begin{aligned}
& \min\left|\left(5\left(a_1a_2^2-b\right)^2-4b^2\right)\sqrt{b}\pm\left(a_1a_2^2+b\right)^2\sqrt{a_1a_2^2+b}\right| \\
= & \left(a_1a_2^2+b\right)^2\sqrt{a_1a_2^2+b}+\left(5\left(a_1a_2^2-b\right)^2-4b^2\right)\sqrt{b}.
\end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\left(5\left(a_1a_2^2-b\right)^2-4b^2\right)\sqrt{b}+\left(a_1a_2^2+b\right)^2\sqrt{a_1a_2^2+b} \\
< & -b^{5/2}\left(5\tan^4(2\pi k/5)-10\tan^2(2\pi k/5)+1\right)-10b^{3/2}\epsilon\left(\tan^2(2\pi k/5)-1\right)-5b^{1/2}\epsilon^2 \\
& -b^{5/2}\sec^5(2\pi k/5)-\frac{5b^{3/2}\epsilon}{2}\sec^3(2\pi k/5)-\frac{15b^{1/2}\epsilon^2\sec(2\pi k/5)}{8} \\
& -\frac{5\epsilon^3}{16b^{1/2}\sec(2\pi k/5)}-\frac{\epsilon^5}{16b^{5/2}\sec^5(2\pi k/5)} \\
= & -2b^{5/2}\sec^5(2\pi k/5)-5b^{3/2}\epsilon\sec^3(2\pi k/5)-b^{1/2}\epsilon^2\left(5+\frac{15\sec(2\pi k/5)}{8}\right) \\
& -\frac{5\epsilon^3}{16b^{1/2}\sec(2\pi k/5)}-\frac{\epsilon^5}{16b^{5/2}\sec^5(2\pi k/5)},
\end{aligned}$$

the last equality holding by (33) and (34).

We can write this upper bound as

$$b^{5/2}\left(5.77\ldots+\frac{9.442\ldots\epsilon}{b}+\frac{2.682\ldots\epsilon^2}{b^2}+\frac{0.252\ldots\epsilon^3}{b^3}+\frac{0.021\ldots\epsilon^5}{b^5}\right).$$

For $b \geq 13$, this is at most $6.514b^{5/2}$.

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(a_1a_2^2+b\right)^2\sqrt{a_1a_2^2+b}+\left(5\left(a_1a_2^2-b\right)^2-4b^2\right)\sqrt{b} \\
< \; & -b^{5/2}\sec^5(2\pi k/5)-\frac{5b^{3/2}\epsilon}{2}\sec^3(2\pi k/5)-\frac{15b^{1/2}\epsilon^2\sec(2\pi k/5)}{8} \\
& -\frac{5\epsilon^3}{16b^{1/2}\sec(2\pi k/5)}-\frac{\epsilon^5}{16b^{5/2}\sec^5(2\pi k/5)} \\
& +b^{5/2}\left(5\tan^4(2\pi k/5)-10\tan^2(2\pi k/5)+1\right)+10b^{3/2}\epsilon\left(\tan^2(2\pi k/5)-1\right)+5b^{1/2}\epsilon^2 \\
= \; & b^{1/2}\epsilon^2\left(5-\frac{15\sec(2\pi k/5)}{8}\right)-\frac{5\epsilon^3}{16b^{1/2}\sec(2\pi k/5)}-\frac{\epsilon^5}{16b^{5/2}\sec^5(2\pi k/5)},
\end{aligned}$$

the last equality again holding by (33) and (34).

We can write this upper bound as

$$b^{1/2}\epsilon^2\left(7.3176\ldots+\frac{0.2528\ldots\epsilon}{b}+\frac{0.02166\ldots\epsilon^3}{b^3}\right).$$

For $b \geq 13$, this is at most $7.3371b^{1/2}\epsilon^2$.

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
E &= \frac{|g|\mathcal{N}_{m,5}}{\mathcal{D}_5\min\left(\left|u_2\sqrt{t}\pm\sqrt{u_2^2t-u_1^2}\right|\right)} \\
&> \frac{|g|\mathcal{N}_{m,5}}{\mathcal{D}_5 2a_1^{2.5}\cdot 7.3371b^{1/2}\epsilon^2} > \frac{\mathcal{N}_{m,5}}{57.75b^{1/2}\epsilon^2}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
Q &= \frac{\mathcal{D}_5}{|g|\mathcal{N}_{m,5}}\max\left(\left|u_2\sqrt{t}\pm\sqrt{u_2^2t-u_1^2}\right|\right) \\
&< \frac{\mathcal{D}_5}{|g|\mathcal{N}_{m,5}}2a_1^{2.5}\cdot 6.514b^{5/2} < \frac{51.27b^{5/2}}{\mathcal{N}},
\end{aligned}$$

from Lemmas 3(b) and 4(b).

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned}
& 4\sqrt{|t|}\left(|x-\beta_1|+|x-\beta_2|\right)\mathcal{C}_nQ\left(3\sqrt{|t|}|x-\beta_2||\mathcal{A}(x)-\beta_1|\mathcal{C}_n\right)^{\kappa} \\
< \; & 4\sqrt{a_1b}\left(\left|a_1a_2-\sqrt{-a_1b}\right|+\left|a_1a_2+\sqrt{-a_1b}\right|\right)2.4\cdot 10^6\frac{51.27b^{5/2}}{\mathcal{N}}
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \times \left(3\sqrt{a_1 b} \left| a_1 a_2 + \sqrt{-a_1 b} \right| \left| \sqrt{a_1 b} \tan\left(\frac{4\pi}{5}\right) - \sqrt{-a_1 b} \right| 2.4 \cdot 10^6 \right)^{\kappa} \\
< \; & \frac{9.85 \cdot 10^8 a_1 b^3 \sqrt{a_1 a_2^2 + b}}{\mathcal{N}} \left(7.2 \cdot 10^6 a_1^{3/2} b \sqrt{a_1 a_2^2 + b} (\sqrt{5} - 1) \right)^{\kappa} \\
< \; & \frac{9.85 \cdot 10^8 a_1 b^3 \sqrt{b \sec^2(4\pi/5) + \epsilon}}{\mathcal{N}} \left(8.9 \cdot 10^6 a_1^{3/2} b \sqrt{b \sec^2(4\pi/5) + \epsilon} \right)^{\kappa} \\
< \; & \frac{1.234 \cdot 10^9 a_1 b^{7/2}}{\mathcal{N}} \left(1.12 \cdot 10^7 a_1^{3/2} b^{3/2} \right)^{\kappa}.
\end{aligned}
$$

since $a_1 a_2^2 + b = b \sec^2(4\pi/5) + \epsilon < 1.567b$ for $b \geq 13$.

Therefore, since $1.12 \cdot 10^7 a_1^{3/2} b^{3/2} > 1$ and since $a_1 \leq a_1 a_2^2 = b \tan^2(4\pi/5) + \epsilon < 0.5664b$ for $b \geq 13$, we have

$$
c \; < \; \frac{7 \cdot 10^8 b^{9/2}}{\mathcal{N}} \left(4.8 \cdot 10^6 b^3 \right)^{\kappa}.
$$

6 Larger n

Before moving on to the proofs of the remaining Theorems, we examine what happens for larger n and why for such n we have only been able to obtain effective irrationality measures that improve on Liouville's for a few algebraic numbers.

6.1 Analysis

We can attempt the same analysis for larger values of n.

For $n = 6$, we "just miss" obtaining a theorem similar to Theorems 1 and 2. For $k = 1$ (the only k we need consider for $n = 6$), we obtain the estimates

$$
\begin{aligned}
\max \left(\left| \frac{u_2 \sqrt{t} \pm \sqrt{u_2^2 t - u_1^2}}{g} \right| \right) \; & < \; b^3 2 \sec^6 \left(\frac{\pi}{12} \right) \\
\min \left(\left| \frac{u_2 \sqrt{t} \pm \sqrt{u_2^2 t - u_1^2}}{g} \right| \right) \; & < \; 67.18 b \epsilon^2,
\end{aligned}
$$

where b, t and ϵ are as defined in Theorems 1 and 2. As in the proofs of those same theorems, trigonometric identities eliminate the terms of higher order in b in the bound for the minimum.

Since $\tan^2(\pi/12) = 1/(7+4\sqrt{3})$ is a quadratic irrational, $\epsilon > c_1/b$ ($1/(15b)$, in fact, since its continued-fraction expansion is $[0, 13, \overline{1, 12}]$) for all positive integers, b. So even in the very best cases, it turns out that

$$\kappa = \frac{3\log(b) + c_2}{\log(b) + c_3},$$

where $c_3 < c_2/3$ and hence $\kappa > 3$.

Thus it is the fact that quadratic irrationals are badly-approximable numbers that prevents us from finding any examples with $n = 6$.

Similarly, for larger values of n, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \max\left(\left| \frac{u_2\sqrt{t} \pm \sqrt{u_2^2 t - u_1^2}}{g} \right| \right) &< b^{n/2} c_4(n) \\ \min\left(\left| \frac{u_2\sqrt{t} \pm \sqrt{u_2^2 t - u_1^2}}{g} \right| \right) &< b^{n/2-2} \epsilon^2 c_5(n). \end{aligned}$$

From Roth's theorem [5], $\epsilon < |b|^{-1-\delta}$ can only occur finitely often for any $\delta > 0$, so as b grows, κ approaches $n/(8-n)$. Hence, for each $n \geq 7$, there are at most finitely many algebraic numbers of the above form for which we can improve on Liouville's irrationality measure.

Note that for $n \geq 9$, matters are even worse, since $n/2 - 2 > 2$, so (appealing again to Roth's theorem) with only finitely many exceptions, we will not have $E > 1$ and not even be able to obtain an irrationality measure from the hypergeometric method.

6.2 Search Details

The algebraic numbers in Theorems 3–6 were found by a computer search. We describe here how that search was carried out as well as the extent of the search.

The main idea behind the search is that $1 - Z(x)/U(x)$ must be small in order for us to be able to apply the hypergeometric method to good effect. The condition $1 - Z(x)/U(x)$ is the same as saying that $U(x) - Z(x) = F_{n,t}(x)$ is small. That is, we choose x near a root of $F_{n,t}$.

So for each $7 \leq n \leq 50$, our search was structured as follows.

(i) for each positive square-free integer $t \leq 1000$, and each integer x from $\min_{F_{n,t}(\alpha)=0}\left(\sqrt{t}\alpha - 10\right)$ to $\max_{F_{n,t}(\alpha)=0}\left(\sqrt{t}\alpha + 10\right)$, apply Proposition 1 to find values of $\kappa < \phi(n) - 1$.

For larger values of t, we observe that since x is close to $\sqrt{t}\tan(\theta)$ (for θ as in Lemma 1), x^2/t must be close to $\tan^2(\theta)$. As discussed in the previous subsection, for larger n we need the "best" approximations; and these come from the continued-fraction expansion of $\tan^2(\theta)$. If p/q is a convergent in the continued-fraction expansion of $\tan^2(\theta)$ and we write $p = p_1 \cdot p_2^2$ where p_1 is a square-free integer, then we can put $x = p_1 \cdot p_2$ and $t = p_1 \cdot q$.

(ii) apply Proposition 1 to the values of t and x obtained from the first 20 convergents in the continued-fraction expansion of the appropriate $\tan^2(\theta)$'s.

The algebraic numbers in Theorems 3–6 were found from step (i). No further examples were found in this way although there were some "near misses". Particularly striking is the example, $t = 4992086833624447048438244097954$ and $x = 2801720872705678$, for which the hypergeometric method yields

$$\left| \sqrt{t}\tan(2\pi/7) - p/q \right| > c \cdot |q|^{-6.287\ldots},$$

for $q \neq 0$. So the exponent is a mere $0.287\ldots$ too large.

This example also shows that it is possible, although we believe it to be unlikely, that there may be other larger examples that we have missed.

Because of the size of the numbers involved, the above calculations were performed using PARI (version 2.3.3).

7 Proof of Theorem 3

We first determine the quantities defined in the Proposition 1.

Put $n = 7$, $t = -19$, $\beta_1 = \sqrt{-19}$, $\beta_2 = -\sqrt{-19}$ and $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = 1$.

From Lemma 1, we know that the roots of the associated polynomial, $F(x)$, are of the form $\sqrt{19}\tan(2k\pi/7)$ for $k = 0, \ldots, 6$.

7.1 Choice of x

Next we have to find a value for x so that

$$\mathcal{A}(x) = \frac{\beta_1 (x - \beta_2) (Z(x)/U(x))^{1/n} - \beta_2 (x - \beta_1)}{(x - \beta_2) (Z(x)/U(x))^{1/n} - (x - \beta_1)} = \sqrt{19}\tan\left(\frac{10\pi}{7}\right).$$

This will give us a sequence of particularly good rational approximations to $\sqrt{19}\tan(10\pi/7)$. We show that $x = 19$ is such a value.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} U(19) &= -\left(19+\sqrt{-19}\right)^7 = 8340544 - 245387584\sqrt{-19} \\ Z(19) &= \left(19-\sqrt{-19}\right)^7 = -8340544 - 245387584\sqrt{-19} \\ \text{so} & \\ \frac{Z(19)}{U(19)} &= \frac{156231 - 559\sqrt{-19}}{156250}. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that we are using the principal branch when taking the 7-th root here, so

$$\left(\frac{156231 - 559\sqrt{-19}}{156250}\right)^{1/7} = \frac{1+\sqrt{-19}}{1-\sqrt{-19}} e^{\pi i/7}$$

So we can apply Lemma 2 with $n = 7$ and $k = 1$. In this case, $3n - k = 20$ and we have $\mathcal{A}(19) = \sqrt{19}\tan(10\pi/7)$ as required.

7.2 Application of Proposition 1

We saw above that we can write

$$U(x) = \frac{2^7 \cdot 19^4 - 2^7 \cdot 19^3 \cdot 559\sqrt{-19}}{2},$$

so $u_1 = 2^7 \cdot 19^4$ and $u_2 = -2^7 \cdot 19^3 \cdot 559$.

Now

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 &= \gcd\left(u_1, u_2\right) = 2^7 \cdot 19^3, \\ g_2 &= \operatorname{sign}(t) \gcd\left(u_1/g_1, t\right) = -19. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\left(u_1 - u_2\right)/g_1 = 578 \equiv 0 \bmod 2$ and $t \equiv 1 \bmod 4$, we have $g_3 = 1$. Lastly,

$$g_4 = \gcd\left(\operatorname{core}(g_2 g_3), \frac{\gcd(2,n)n}{\gcd(u_1/g_1, \gcd(2,n)n)}\right) = \gcd\left(-19, \frac{7}{\gcd(19,7)}\right) = 1.$$

Hence

$$g = \frac{g_1\sqrt{g_2}}{\sqrt{g_3 g_4}} = 2^7 \cdot 19^3\sqrt{-19}.$$

Since

$$\frac{u_1}{g} = \frac{2^7 \cdot 19^4}{2^7 \cdot 19^3\sqrt{-19}} = \sqrt{-19},$$

so $m = 1$ and $\mathcal{N}_{1,7} = 1$.

Notice that

$$u_2\sqrt{t} \pm \sqrt{u_2^2 t - u_1^2} = -2^7 \cdot 19^3 \cdot 559\sqrt{-19} \pm 2^8 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 19^3\sqrt{-95},$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} \min\left(\left|u_2\sqrt{t} \pm \sqrt{u_2^2 t - u_1^2}\right|\right) &= 2^7 \cdot 19^3\left(250\sqrt{95} - 559\sqrt{19}\right) \\ &\text{and} \\ \max\left(\left|u_2\sqrt{t} \pm \sqrt{u_2^2 t - u_1^2}\right|\right) &= 2^7 \cdot 19^3\left(250\sqrt{95} + 559\sqrt{19}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} E &= \frac{|g|\mathcal{N}_{1,7}}{\mathcal{D}_7 \min\left(\left|u_2\sqrt{t} \pm \sqrt{u_2^2 t - u_1^2}\right|\right)} \\ &= \frac{2^7 \cdot 19^3\sqrt{19}\mathcal{N}_{1,7}}{\mathcal{D}_7 2^7 \cdot 19^3\left(250\sqrt{95} - 559\sqrt{19}\right)} \\ &= 11.188347\ldots \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} Q &= \frac{\mathcal{D}_7}{|g|\mathcal{N}_{1,7}} \max\left(\left|u_2\sqrt{t} \pm \sqrt{u_2^2 t - u_1^2}\right|\right) \\ &= \frac{\mathcal{D}_7 2^7 \cdot 19^3\left(250\sqrt{95} + 559\sqrt{19}\right)}{2^7 \cdot 19^3\sqrt{19}\mathcal{N}_{1,7}} \\ &= 5879.998902\ldots, \end{aligned}$$

from Lemma 3(c).

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} & 4\sqrt{|t|}\left(|x-\beta_1| + |x-\beta_2|\right)\mathcal{C}_7 Q\left(3\sqrt{|t|}\,|x-\beta_2|\,|\mathcal{A}(x)-\beta_1|\,\mathcal{C}_7\right)^{\kappa} \\ = {} & 4\sqrt{19}\left(\left|19-\sqrt{-19}\right| + \left|19+\sqrt{-19}\right|\right)\mathcal{C}_7 Q \\ & \times\left(3\sqrt{19}\left|19+\sqrt{-19}\right|\left|\sqrt{19}\tan(10\pi/7) - \sqrt{-19}\right|\mathcal{C}_7\right)^{\kappa} \\ = {} & 304\sqrt{5}\mathcal{C}_7 Q\left(114\sqrt{95}\sec(3\pi/7)\mathcal{C}_7\right)^{\kappa} < 9.5 \cdot 10^{41}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\kappa = \frac{\log Q}{\log E} < \frac{\log 5880}{\log 11.18834} < 3.59411,$$

so we can let $c = 9.5 \cdot 10^{41}$.

We find that

$$\left| \sqrt{19} \tan\left(\frac{10\pi}{7} \right) - \frac{p}{q} \right| > \frac{10^{-42}}{|q|^{4.59411}},$$

for all integers p and q with $q \neq 0$.

7.3 Improved Constant

The constant c above is rather large. At the expense of a slightly larger κ, we can significantly reduce the size of c as in the proof of Corollary 2.2 of [8].

We used Maple 8 to calculate the first 14,000 partial fractions in the continued-fraction expansion of $\sqrt{19}\tan(10\pi/7)$. This calculation took 2950 seconds on a PC with an Intel Core 2 Duo CPU running at 2.00 GHz. The denominator of the 13,700-th convergent is greater than 10^{6990} and it is easy to verify that

$$\frac{10^{-42}}{|q|^{4.59411}} > \frac{0.09}{|q|^{4.6}}$$

for all q whose absolute value is larger than that.

Thus, it only remains to check that the desired inequality is satisfied for all q whose absolute value is at most the denominator of the 13,700-th convergent.

Rather than checking the convergents directly, we can use the theory of continued-fractions:

$$\frac{1}{(a_{i+1} + 2)\, q_i^2} < \left| \alpha - \frac{p_i}{q_i} \right|,$$

where a_{i+1} is the $i+1$-st partial fraction in the continued-fraction expansion of α while p_i/q_i is the i-th convergent.

The largest partial fraction found for $\sqrt{19}\tan(10\pi/7)$ was $a_{1311} = 21,976$. Therefore, the corollary holds for $|q| > 18.6 > (0.09 \cdot (21976+2))^{(1/2.6)}$. Now a direct check among the smaller convergents completes the proof of our result.

8 Proof of Theorem 4

The proof of Theorem 4 is essentially the same as the proof of Theorem 3. So we will follow the same steps, but not include quite so many of the details here.

We put $n = 7$, $t = -39$, $\beta_1 = \sqrt{-39}$, $\beta_2 = -\sqrt{-39}$ and $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = 1$ in Proposition 1.

From Lemma 1, we know that the roots of the associated polynomial, $F(x)$, are of the form $\sqrt{39}\tan(2k\pi/7)$ for $k = 0, \ldots, 6$.

8.1 Choice of x

We will show that for $x = 3$,

$$\mathcal{A}(x) = \frac{\beta_1 (x-\beta_2)(Z(x)/U(x))^{1/n} - \beta_2 (x-\beta_1)}{(x-\beta_2)(Z(x)/U(x))^{1/n} - (x-\beta_1)} = \sqrt{39}\tan\left(\frac{8\pi}{7}\right).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
U(3) &= -\left(3+\sqrt{-39}\right)^7 = -2^7(-3)^3\frac{3-71\sqrt{-39}}{2}, \\
Z(3) &= \left(3-\sqrt{-39}\right)^7 = 2^7(-3)^3\frac{3+71\sqrt{-39}}{2}, \\
\text{hence} & \\
\frac{Z(3)}{U(3)} &= \frac{32765-71\sqrt{-39}}{32768}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the principal branch when taking the 7-th root here,

$$\left(\frac{32765-71\sqrt{-39}}{32768}\right)^{1/7} = \frac{-3+\sqrt{-39}}{3+\sqrt{-39}}e^{5\pi i/7}$$

So we can apply Lemma 2 with $n = 7$ and $k = 5$. In this case, $3n-k = 16$ and we have $\mathcal{A}(3) = \sqrt{39}\tan(8\pi/7)$ as required.

8.2 Application of Proposition 1

We saw above that we can write

$$U(x) = \frac{2^7\cdot 3^4 - 2^7\cdot 3^3\cdot 71\sqrt{-39}}{2},$$

so $u_1 = 2^7 \cdot 3^4$ and $u_2 = -2^7 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 71$.

Now

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 &= \gcd(u_1, u_2) = 2^7 \cdot 3^3, \\ g_2 &= \operatorname{sign}(t) \gcd(u_1/g_1, t) = -3. \end{aligned}$$

Since $(u_1 - u_2)/g_1 = 74 \equiv 0 \bmod 2$ and $t \equiv 1 \bmod 4$, we have $g_3 = 1$. Also

$$g_4 = \gcd\left(\operatorname{core}(g_2 g_3), \frac{\gcd(2,n)n}{\gcd(u_1/g_1, \gcd(2,n)n)}\right) = \gcd\left(-3, \frac{7}{\gcd(3,7)}\right) = 1.$$

Hence

$$g = \frac{g_1\sqrt{g_2}}{\sqrt{g_3 g_4}} = 2^7 \cdot 3^3\sqrt{-3}.$$

Since

$$\frac{u_1}{g} = \frac{2^7 \cdot 3^4}{2^7 \cdot 3^3\sqrt{-3}} = \sqrt{-3},$$

so $m = 1$ and $\mathcal{N}_{1,7} = 1$.

Notice that

$$u_2\sqrt{t} \pm \sqrt{u_2^2 t - u_1^2} = -2^7 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 71\sqrt{-39} \pm 2^{15} \cdot 3^3\sqrt{-3}.$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} \min\left(\left|u_2\sqrt{t} \pm \sqrt{u_2^2 t - u_1^2}\right|\right) &= 2^7 \cdot 3^3\left(256\sqrt{3} - 71\sqrt{39}\right) \\ &\text{and} \\ \max\left(\left|u_2\sqrt{t} \pm \sqrt{u_2^2 t - u_1^2}\right|\right) &= 2^7 \cdot 3^3\left(256\sqrt{3} + 71\sqrt{39}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} E &= \frac{|g|\mathcal{N}_{1,7}}{\mathcal{D}_7 \min\left(\left|u_2\sqrt{t} \pm \sqrt{u_2^2 t - u_1^2}\right|\right)} \\ &= \frac{2^7 \cdot 3^3\sqrt{3}\mathcal{N}_{1,7}}{\mathcal{D}_7 2^7 \cdot 3^3\left(256\sqrt{3} - 71\sqrt{39}\right)} \\ &= 32.450014\ldots \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
Q &= \frac{\mathcal{D}_7}{|g|\mathcal{N}_{1,7}} \max\left(\left|u_2\sqrt{t} \pm \sqrt{u_2^2 t - u_1^2}\right|\right) \\
&= \frac{\mathcal{D}_7 2^7 \cdot 3^3 \left(256\sqrt{3} + 71\sqrt{39}\right)}{2^7 \cdot 3^3\sqrt{3}\mathcal{N}_{1,7}} \\
&= 2692.736335\ldots,
\end{aligned}$$

from Lemma 3(c).

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned}
& 4\sqrt{|t|}\left(|x-\beta_1| + |x-\beta_2|\right)\mathcal{C}_7 Q\left(3\sqrt{|t|}\,|x-\beta_2|\,|\mathcal{A}(x)-\beta_1|\,\mathcal{C}_7\right)^{\kappa} \\
= \; & 4\sqrt{39}\left(\left|3-\sqrt{-39}\right| + \left|3+\sqrt{-39}\right|\right)\mathcal{C}_7 Q \\
& \times \left(3\sqrt{39}\left|3+\sqrt{-39}\right|\left|\sqrt{39}\tan(8\pi/7) - \sqrt{-39}\right|\mathcal{C}_7\right)^{\kappa} \\
= \; & 96\sqrt{13}\mathcal{C}_7 Q\left(468\sqrt{3}\sec(\pi/7)\mathcal{C}_7\right)^{\kappa} < 2.5 \cdot 10^{28},
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\kappa = \frac{\log Q}{\log E} < \frac{\log 2693}{\log 32.45} < 2.27,$$

so we can let $c = 2.5 \cdot 10^{28}$.

We find that

$$\left|\sqrt{39}\tan\left(\frac{8\pi}{7}\right) - \frac{p}{q}\right| > \frac{4 \cdot 10^{-29}}{|q|^{3.27}},$$

for all integers p and q with $q \neq 0$.

8.3 Improved Constant

Again, we perform some continued-fractions calculations to reduce the size of the constant c above.

We used Maple 8 to calculate the first 6,000 partial fractions in the continued-fraction expansion of $\sqrt{39}\tan(8\pi/7)$. This calculation took 295 seconds on a PC with an Intel Core 2 Duo CPU running at 2.00 GHz. The denominator of the 5,100-th convergent is greater than 10^{2660} and

$$\frac{4 \cdot 10^{-29}}{|q|^{3.27}} > \frac{0.007}{|q|^{3.28}}$$

for all q whose absolute value is larger than that.

As before, for $i = 1, \ldots, 5, 100$, we check

$$\frac{1}{(a_{i+1}+2)\, q_i^2} < \left| \sqrt{39}\tan(8\pi/7) - \frac{p_i}{q_i} \right|.$$

The largest partial fraction found for $\sqrt{39}\tan(8\pi/7)$ was $a_{4021} = 14,265$. Therefore, the corollary holds for $|q| > 37.9 > (0.007 \cdot (14265+2))^{(1/1.28)}$. Now a direct check among the smaller convergents completes the proof of our result.

9 Proof of Theorem 5

The proof of Theorem 5 is again the same as the previous ones.

We put $n = 7$, $t = -77$, $\beta_1 = \sqrt{-77}$, $\beta_2 = -\sqrt{-77}$ and $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = 1$ in Proposition 1.

From Lemma 1, we know that the roots of the associated polynomial, $F(x)$, are of the form $\sqrt{77}\tan(2k\pi/7)$ for $k = 0, \ldots, 6$.

9.1 Choice of x

We will show that for $x = 11$,

$$\mathcal{A}(x) = \frac{\beta_1 (x-\beta_2)(Z(x)/U(x))^{1/n} - \beta_2 (x-\beta_1)}{(x-\beta_2)(Z(x)/U(x))^{1/n} - (x-\beta_1)} = \sqrt{77}\tan\left(\frac{2\pi}{7}\right).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} U(11) &= -\left(11+\sqrt{-77}\right)^7 = 2^3(-11)^3(11-1169\sqrt{-77}), \\ Z(11) &= \left(11-\sqrt{-77}\right)^7 = 2^3(-11)^3(-11-1169\sqrt{-77}), \\ &\text{so} \\ \frac{Z(11)}{U(11)} &= \frac{4782958-1169\sqrt{-77}}{4782969}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the principal branch when taking the 7-th root,

$$\left(\frac{4782958-1169\sqrt{-77}}{4782969}\right)^{1/7} = \frac{-11+\sqrt{-77}}{11+\sqrt{-77}} e^{3\pi i/7}$$

So we can apply Lemma 2 with $n = 7$ and $k = 3$. In this case, $n - k = 4$ and we have $\mathcal{A}(11) = \sqrt{77}\tan(2\pi/7)$ as required.

9.2 Application of Proposition 1

We saw above that we can write

$$U(x) = \frac{-2^4 \cdot 11^4 + 2^4 \cdot 11^3 \cdot 1169\sqrt{-77}}{2},$$

so $u_1 = -2^4 \cdot 11^4$ and $u_2 = 2^4 \cdot 11^3 \cdot 1169$.

Now

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 &= \gcd(u_1, u_2) = 2^4 \cdot 11^3, \\ g_2 &= \operatorname{sign}(t) \gcd(u_1/g_1, t) = -11. \end{aligned}$$

Since $(u_1 - u_2)/g_1 = -1180 \equiv 0 \bmod 2$ and $t \equiv 3 \bmod 4$, we have $g_3 = 2$. Also

$$g_4 = \gcd\left(\operatorname{core}(g_2 g_3), \frac{\gcd(2,n)n}{\gcd(u_1/g_1, \gcd(2,n)n)}\right) = \gcd\left(-22, \frac{7}{\gcd(-11,7)}\right) = 1.$$

Hence

$$g = \frac{g_1\sqrt{g_2}}{\sqrt{g_3 g_4}} = 2^3 \cdot 11^3\sqrt{-22}.$$

Since

$$\frac{u_1}{g} = \frac{-2^4 \cdot 11^4}{2^3 \cdot 11^3\sqrt{-22}} = \sqrt{-22},$$

so $m = 1$ and $\mathcal{N}_{1,7} = 1$.

Notice that

$$u_2\sqrt{t} \pm \sqrt{u_2^2 t - u_1^2} = 2^4 \cdot 11^3 \left(1169\sqrt{-77} \pm 2187\sqrt{-22}\right).$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} \min\left(\left|u_2\sqrt{t} \pm \sqrt{u_2^2 t - u_1^2}\right|\right) &= 2^4 \cdot 11^3 \left(2187\sqrt{22} - 1169\sqrt{77}\right) \\ &\text{and} \\ \max\left(\left|u_2\sqrt{t} \pm \sqrt{u_2^2 t - u_1^2}\right|\right) &= 2^4 \cdot 11^3 \left(2187\sqrt{22} + 1169\sqrt{77}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} E &= \frac{|g|\mathcal{N}_{1,7}}{\mathcal{D}_7 \min\left(\left|u_2\sqrt{t} \pm \sqrt{u_2^2 t - u_1^2}\right|\right)} \\ &= \frac{2^3 \cdot 11^3\sqrt{22}\mathcal{N}_{1,7}}{\mathcal{D}_7 2^4 \cdot 11^3 \left(2187\sqrt{22} - 1169\sqrt{77}\right)} \\ &= 75.606150\ldots \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} Q &= \frac{\mathcal{D}_7}{|g|\mathcal{N}_{1,7}} \max\left(\left|u_2\sqrt{t} \pm \sqrt{u_2^2 t - u_1^2}\right|\right) \\ &= \frac{\mathcal{D}_7 2^4 \cdot 11^3 \left(2187\sqrt{22} + 1169\sqrt{77}\right)}{2^3 \cdot 11^3 \sqrt{22}\mathcal{N}_{1,7}} \\ &= 46008.438040\ldots, \end{aligned}$$

from Lemma 3(c).

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} & 4\sqrt{|t|}\left(|x-\beta_1| + |x-\beta_2|\right)\mathcal{C}_7 Q \left(3\sqrt{|t|}\,|x-\beta_2|\,|\mathcal{A}(x)-\beta_1|\,\mathcal{C}_7\right)^{\kappa} \\ = \; & 4\sqrt{77}\left(\left|11-\sqrt{-77}\right| + \left|11+\sqrt{-77}\right|\right)\mathcal{C}_7 Q \\ & \times \left(3\sqrt{77}\left|11+\sqrt{-77}\right| \left|\sqrt{77}\tan(2\pi/7) - \sqrt{-77}\right| \mathcal{C}_7\right)^{\kappa} \\ = \; & 132\sqrt{14}\mathcal{C}_7 Q \left(693\sqrt{22}\sec(2\pi/7)\mathcal{C}_7\right)^{\kappa} < 2.1 \cdot 10^{33}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\kappa = \frac{\log Q}{\log E} < \frac{\log 46009}{\log 75.6} < 2.4822,$$

so we can let $c = 2.1 \cdot 10^{33}$.

We find that

$$\left|\sqrt{77}\tan\left(\frac{2\pi}{7}\right) - \frac{p}{q}\right| > \frac{4.7 \cdot 10^{-34}}{|q|^{3.4822}},$$

for all integers p and q with $q \neq 0$.

9.3 Improved Constant

Again, we perform some continued-fractions calculations to reduce the size of the constant c above.

We used Maple 8 to calculate the first 8000 partial fractions in the continued-fraction expansion of $\sqrt{77}\tan(2\pi/7)$. This calculation took 665 seconds on a PC with an Intel Core 2 Duo CPU running at 2.00 GHz. The denominator of the 7700-th convergent is greater than 10^{3970} and

$$\frac{4.7 \cdot 10^{-34}}{|q|^{3.4822}} > \frac{0.003}{|q|^{3.49}}$$

for all q whose absolute value is larger than that.

As before, for $i = 1, \ldots, 7700$, we check

$$\frac{1}{(a_{i+1}+2)\, q_i^2} < \left| \sqrt{77}\tan(2\pi/7) - \frac{p_i}{q_i} \right|.$$

The largest partial fraction found for $\sqrt{77}\tan(2\pi/7)$ was $a_{7695} = 9039$. Therefore, the corollary holds for $|q| > 9.2 > (0.003 \cdot (9039+2))^{(1/1.49)}$. Now a direct check among the smaller convergents completes the proof of our result.

10 Proof of Theorem 6

We put $n = 13$, $t = -7$, $\beta_1 = \sqrt{-7}$, $\beta_2 = -\sqrt{-7}$ and $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = 1$ in Proposition 1.

From Lemma 1, we know that the roots of the associated polynomial, $F(x)$, are of the form $\sqrt{7}\tan(2k\pi/13)$ for $k = 0, \ldots, 12$.

10.1 Choice of x

We will show that for $x = 7$,

$$\mathcal{A}(x) = \frac{\beta_1 (x-\beta_2)(Z(x)/U(x))^{1/n} - \beta_2 (x-\beta_1)}{(x-\beta_2)(Z(x)/U(x))^{1/n} - (x-\beta_1)} = \sqrt{7}\tan\left(\frac{18\pi}{13}\right).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} U(7) &= -\left(7+\sqrt{-7}\right)^{13} = 3373232128 + 87222145024\sqrt{-7}, \\ Z(7) &= \left(7-\sqrt{-7}\right)^{13} = -3373232128 + 87222145024\sqrt{-7}, \\ \text{so} & \\ \frac{Z(7)}{U(7)} &= \frac{16377 + 181\sqrt{-7}}{16384}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the principal branch when taking the 7-th root,

$$\left(\frac{16377+181\sqrt{-7}}{16384}\right)^{1/13} = \frac{1+\sqrt{-7}}{1-\sqrt{-7}} e^{3\pi i/13}.$$

So we can apply Lemma 2 with $n = 13$ and $k = 3$. In this case, $n-k = 36$ and we have $\mathcal{A}(7) = \sqrt{7}\tan(18\pi/13)$ as required.

10.2 Application of Proposition 1

We saw above that we can write

$$U(x) = \frac{2^{13} \cdot 7^7 + 2^{13} \cdot 7^6 \cdot 181\sqrt{-7}}{2},$$

so $u_1 = 2^{13} \cdot 7^7$ and $u_2 = 2^{13} \cdot 7^6 \cdot 181$.

Now

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 &= \gcd(u_1, u_2) = 2^{13} \cdot 7^6, \\ g_2 &= \operatorname{sign}(t) \gcd(u_1/g_1, t) = -7. \end{aligned}$$

Since $(u_1 - u_2)/g_1 = -174 \equiv 0 \bmod 2$ and $t \equiv 1 \bmod 4$, we have $g_3 = 1$. Also

$$g_4 = \gcd\left(\operatorname{core}(g_2 g_3), \frac{\gcd(2,n)n}{\gcd(u_1/g_1, \gcd(2,n)n)}\right) = \gcd\left(-7, \frac{13}{\gcd(7,13)}\right) = 1.$$

Hence

$$g = \frac{g_1\sqrt{g_2}}{\sqrt{g_3 g_4}} = 2^{13} \cdot 7^6\sqrt{-7}.$$

Since

$$\frac{u_1}{g} = \frac{2^{13} \cdot 7^7}{2^{13} \cdot 7^6\sqrt{-7}} = -\sqrt{-7},$$

so $m = 1$ and $\mathcal{N}_{1,13} = 1$.

Notice that

$$u_2\sqrt{t} \pm \sqrt{u_2^2 t - u_1^2} = 2^{13} \cdot 7^6 \left(181\sqrt{-7} \pm 128\sqrt{-14}\right).$$

So

$$\min\left(\left|u_2\sqrt{t} \pm \sqrt{u_2^2 t - u_1^2}\right|\right) = 2^{13} \cdot 7^6 \left(128\sqrt{14} - 181\sqrt{7}\right)$$

and

$$\max\left(\left|u_2\sqrt{t} \pm \sqrt{u_2^2 t - u_1^2}\right|\right) = 2^{13} \cdot 7^6 \left(128\sqrt{14} + 181\sqrt{7}\right).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} E &= \frac{|g|\mathcal{N}_{1,13}}{\mathcal{D}_{13} \min\left(\left|u_2\sqrt{t} \pm \sqrt{u_2^2 t - u_1^2}\right|\right)} \\ &= \frac{2^{13} \cdot 7^6\sqrt{7}\mathcal{N}_{1,13}}{\mathcal{D}_{13} 2^{13} \cdot 7^6 \left(128\sqrt{14} - 181\sqrt{7}\right)} \\ &= 5.673393\ldots \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
Q &= \frac{\mathcal{D}_{13}}{|g|\mathcal{N}_{1,13}} \max\left(\left|u_2\sqrt{t} \pm \sqrt{u_2^2 t - u_1^2}\right|\right) \\
&= \frac{\mathcal{D}_{13} 2^{13} \cdot 7^6 \left(128\sqrt{14} + 181\sqrt{7}\right)}{2^{13} \cdot 7^6 \sqrt{7} \mathcal{N}_{1,13}} \\
&= 3300.065595\ldots,
\end{aligned}$$

from Lemma 3(d).

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned}
& 4\sqrt{|t|}\left(|x-\beta_1| + |x-\beta_2|\right)\mathcal{C}_7 Q \left(3\sqrt{|t|}\,|x-\beta_2|\,|\mathcal{A}(x)-\beta_1|\,\mathcal{C}_7\right)^{\kappa} \\
= \; & 4\sqrt{7}\left(\left|7-\sqrt{-7}\right| + \left|7+\sqrt{-7}\right|\right)\mathcal{C}_{13} Q \\
& \times \left(3\sqrt{7}\left|7+\sqrt{-7}\right|\left|\sqrt{7}\tan(18\pi/13) - \sqrt{-7}\right|\mathcal{C}_{13}\right)^{\kappa} \\
= \; & 112\sqrt{2}\mathcal{C}_{13} Q \left(42\sqrt{14}\sec(5\pi/13)\mathcal{C}_{13}\right)^{\kappa} < 5.7 \cdot 10^{49},
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\kappa = \frac{\log Q}{\log E} < \frac{\log 3300.1}{\log 5.6733} < 4.6675,$$

so we can let $c = 5.7 \cdot 10^{49}$.

We find that

$$\left|\sqrt{7}\tan\left(\frac{18\pi}{13}\right) - \frac{p}{q}\right| > \frac{1.7 \cdot 10^{-50}}{|q|^{4.6675}},$$

for all integers p and q with $q \neq 0$.

10.3 Improved Constant

Again, we perform some continued-fractions calculations to reduce the size of the constant c above.

We used Maple 8 to calculate the first 8000 partial fractions in the continued-fraction expansion of $\sqrt{7}\tan(18\pi/13)$. This calculation took 615 seconds on a PC with an Intel Core 2 Duo CPU running at 2.00 GHz. The denominator of the 7500-th convergent is greater than 10^{3860} and

$$\frac{1.7 \cdot 10^{-50}}{|q|^{5.6675}} > \frac{0.02}{|q|^{5.68}}$$

for all q whose absolute value is larger than that.

As before, for $i = 1, \ldots, 7500$, we check

$$\frac{1}{(a_{i+1}+2)\,q_i^2} < \left| \sqrt{7}\tan(18\pi/13) - \frac{p_i}{q_i} \right|.$$

The largest partial fraction found for $\sqrt{7}\tan(18\pi/13)$ was $a_{2404} = 303,427$. Therefore, the corollary holds for $|q| > 10.7 > (0.02 \cdot (303427+2))^{(1/3.68)}$. Now a direct check among the smaller q's completes the proof of our result.

References

[1] Chen Jian Hua, A new solution of the Diophantine equation $X^2 + 1 = 2Y^4$, *J. Number Theory* **48** (1994), 62–74.

[2] Chen Jian Hua and P. M. Voutier, Complete solution of the diophantine equation $X^2 + 1 = dY^4$ and a related family of quartic Thue equations, *J. Number Theory* **62** (1997), 71–99.

[3] G. Lettl, A. Pethő and P. M. Voutier, Simple families of Thue inequalities, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **351** (1999), 18771–1874.

[4] Pingzhi Yuan, On algebraic approximations of certain algebraic numbers, *J. Number Theory* **102** (2003), 1–10.

[5] K. F. Roth, Rational approximations to algebraic numbers, *Mathematika* **2** (1955), 1–20 and 168.

[6] A. Thue, Ein Fundamentaltheorem zur Bestimmung von Annäherungswerten aller Wurzeln gewisser ganzer Funktionen, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **138** (1910), 96–108.

[7] A. Togbé, P. M. Voutier and P. G. Walsh, Solving a family of Thue equations with an application to the equation $x^2 - Dy^4 = 1$, *Acta Arith.* **120** (2005), 39–58.

[8] P. M. Voutier, Rational approximations to $\sqrt[3]{2}$ and other algebraic numbers revisited, *Journal de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux* **19** (2007), 263–288.

[9] P. M. Voutier, Thue's Fundamentaltheorem, I: The General Case, (submitted, see also http://arxiv.org/abs/0805.3176).